epic.org

Electronic Privacy Information Center 1718 Connecticut Avenue NW, Suite 200 Washington, DC 20009, USA +1 202 483 1140
+1 202 483 1248
@EPICPrivacy
https://epic.org

October 3, 2017

The Honorable Susan Collins, Chairman The Honorable Bob Casey, Ranking Member United States Senate Special Committee on Aging G31 Dirksen Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510-6050

RE: "Still Ringing Off the Hook: An Update on Efforts to Combat Robocalls"

Dear Chairman Collins and Ranking Member Casey:

We write to you regarding tomorrow's hearing on "Still Ringing Off the Hook: An Update on Efforts to Combat Robocalls." We appreciate your interest in this important issue. Senior citizens continue to face serious risks from unregulated robocalls.

The Electronic Privacy Information Center ("EPIC") is a public interest research center in Washington, D.C.¹ EPIC played a leading role in the creation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA") and continues to defend the Act,² one of the most important and popular privacy laws in the history of the United States. EPIC supported establishment of the original Do Not Call registry.³ EPIC provided numerous comments to the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") and the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC") on the implementation of the TCPA, and maintains online resources for consumers who seek to protect their rights under the TCPA.⁴ Earlier this year EPIC submitted comments to the FCC, expressing

² See, e.g., Telephone Advertising and Consumer Rights Act, H.R. 1304, Before the Subcomm. on Telecomms. And Fin. of the H. Comm. on Energy and Commerce, 102d Cong., 1st Sess. 43 (April 24, 1991) (testimony of CPSR Washington Office director Marc Rotenberg), https://www.cspan.org/video/?18726-1/telephone-solicitation; Brief of *Amici Curiae* Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC) and Six Consumer Privacy Organizations in Support of Respondents, *ACA Int'l v. FCC*, No. 15-1211 (D.C. Cir. Jan. 22, 2016), https://epic.org/amicus/acaintl/EPIC- Amicus.pdf; National Consumer Law Center et al., Petition for Reconsideration of Declaratory Ruling and Request for Stay Pending Reconsideration In the Matter of Broadnet Teleservices LLC Petition for Declaratory Ruling, CG

¹ EPIC, About EPIC (2016), https://epic.org/epic/about.html.

Docket No. 02-278 (2016). ³ Comments of EPIC, *In the Matter of Rules and Regulations Implementing the Consumer Protection Act of 1991*, FCC. Docket No. 02-278 (Dec. 9, 2002),

https://epic.org/privacy/telemarketing/tcpacomments.html.

⁴ See, e.g, EPIC, EPIC Administrative Procedure Act (APA) Comments, https://epic.org/apa/comments/; EPIC, Telemarketing and the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA), https://epic.org/privacy/telemarketing/.

support for a new rule that would allow phone companies to block calls from numbers they know are invalid, such as numbers that have not been assigned to a subscriber.⁵

Eliminating unlawful robocalls is particularly important to protect senior citizens. Robocalls are a consistent source of annoyance for American consumers who confront bad actors that engage in identity theft, financial fraud, and debt collection scams. Robocalls are consistently one of the top complaints made to both the FCC and the FTC.⁶ The transition from land lines to mobile phones⁷ has only made the problem worse. Unsolicited calls and texts facilitate fraud, drain battery life, eat into data plans and phone memory space, and demand attention when the user would rather not be interrupted. Because we carry our phones with us everywhere,⁸ unwanted calls and texts interrupt sleep, disturb meetings and meals, and disrupt concentration wherever we go. For low-income consumers who often rely on pay-as-you-go, limited-minute prepaid wireless plans,⁹ these unwanted calls and texts are particularly harmful.¹⁰

The elderly are especially vulnerable to these calls; criminals target senior citizens, believing they are wealthy and will be unable to detect crime or report that a crime has occurred.¹¹

Current laws and penalties for illegal robocalls have not been enough to stop these calls. Even with the private right of action contained within the TCPA, illegal, predatory behavior continues. This is despite the fact that in general TCPA cases are among the most effective privacy class actions because they typically require companies to change their business practices

https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhis/earlyrelease/wireless201705.pdf.

⁸ More than 70% of smartphone users keep their phones within five feet a majority of the time. Harris Interactive, 2013 Mobile Consumer Habits Study (June 2013),

⁵ Comments of EPIC, *Advanced Methods to Target and Eliminate Unlawful Robocalls*, FCC 17-24 (June 30, 2017), <u>https://epic.org/apa/comments/EPIC-FCC-Robocall-Comments.pdf</u>.

⁶ Consumer Complaint Center, FCC, https://consumercomplaints.fcc.gov/hc/en-

us/articles/115002234203-Unwanted-Calls; *FTC Releases Annual Summary of Consumer Complaints*, FTC, Mar. 3 2017, https://www.ftc.gov/news-events/press-releases/2017/03/ftc-releases-annual-summary-consumer-complaints.

⁷ 95% of American adults own at least one cell phone and 77% own smartphones. *Mobile Fact Sheet*, Pew Research Ctr. (Jan. 12, 2017) http://www.pewinternet.org/fact-sheet/mobile/; Over half of American households do not have a land line. Stephen J. Blumberg & Julian V. Luke, Ctrs. for Disease Control & Prevention, *Wireless Substitution: Early Release of Estimates from the National Health Interview Survey, July–December 2016*, at 2 (May 2017),

http://pages.jumio.com/rs/jumio/images/Jumio%20- %20Mobile%20Consumer %20Habits%20Study-2.pdf.

⁹ Federal Communications Commission, Annual Report and Analysis of Competitive Market Conditions With Respect to Mobile Wireless, Eighteenth Report, WT Docket No. 15-125, ¶¶ 44, 73, 95-96 (Dec. 23, 2015).

¹⁰ Bill Moack, *Feds, Fla. Shut Down Robocall Ring That Targeted Seniors*, Clarion Ledger Jun. 9, 2017, http://www.clarionledger.com/story/business/2017/06/09/feds-fla-authorities-shut-down-robocall-ringtargeted-seniors/371452001/.

¹¹ Fraud Against Seniors, Federal Bureau of Investigation, <u>https://www.fbi.gov/scams-and-</u>

<u>safety/common-fraud-schemes/seniors;</u> Anne-Marie Botek, *Robocalls and Fear Tactics Help Scammers Swindle Seniors*, Aging Care, https://www.agingcare.com/articles/robocalls-fear-tactics-scam-seniors-167323.htm.

to comply with the law. However, more must be done. While consumers now have more options to block calls from their home and cell phones, they can only do so after they have received these illegal and bothersome phone calls.

EPIC's Recommendations

EPIC is in favor of rules that would (1) allow phone providers to proactively block numbers that are unassigned, unallocated, or invalid; (2) block invalid numbers without requiring consumer consent, and (3) provide strong security measures for any database of blocked numbers that may be created.

First, proactive blocking of these numbers is the most effective way to protect consumers, particularly the elderly. If providers wait until complaints pile up, consumers will be exposed to calls that are predatory and fraudulent. Some consumers choose not to answer calls from numbers that they suspect are invalid based on caller ID information. But seniors may have a more difficult time determining which calls are invalid. Furthermore, seniors are more likely to use landlines that may not have or use caller ID, and upon answering the phone they would have no way to be alerted to the fact that the call they are receiving is likely to be an illegal robocall.

Second, phone providers should not require consent from consumers before blocking calls from invalid numbers. No reasonable consumer wants to receive robocalls. This is evident from the fact that these calls are consistently the number one complaint at both the FTC¹² and the FCC. A consent for blocking requirements leaves individuals, and particularly seniors, at risk of identity theft, fraud, and harassment by phone scammers.

Third, databases and "white lists" of blocked numbers require strong security measures. EPIC has long advocated for strong security measures to protect personal data stored in databases.¹³ EPIC recommends data minimization, but in this case it is necessary to maintain a list of all numbers that have been blocked by providers. Such a database will be an attractive target for hackers.¹⁴ If compromised, it would not only allow scammers to continue with their

¹² *FTC Releases Annual Summary of Consumer Complaints*, FTC, Mar. 3 2017, <u>https://www.ftc.gov/news-events/press-releases/2017/03/ftc-releases-annual-summary-consumer-complaints</u>.

¹³ See e.g., Comments of EPIC, Privacy Act of 1974; Department of Homeland Security/ALL—038 Insider Threat Program System of Records, Mar. 28, 2016, <u>https://epic.org/apa/comments/EPIC-DHS-Inisder-Threat-Comments.pdf</u>; Comments of EPIC, Department of Defense (DoD) Insider Threat Management and Analysis Center (DITMAC) and DoD Component Insider Threat Records System, Jun. 2, 2016, <u>https://epic.org/apa/comments/index.php?y=2016</u>; Comments of EPIC, Privacy Act of 1974: Implementation of Exemptions; Department of Homeland Security/U.S. Customs Enforcement-016 FALCON Search and Analysis System of Records, Jun. 5, 2017, <u>https://epic.org/apa/comments/EPIC-DHS-FALCON-Database-Comments.pdf</u>.

¹⁴ Bruce Schneier, *Data Is a Toxic Asset*, Schneier on Security, Mar. 4, 2016,

https://www.schneier.com/blog/archives/2016/03/data_is_a_toxic.html ("saving [data] is dangerous because failing to secure it is damaging. It will reduce a company's profits, reduce its market share, hurt its stock price, cause it public embarrassment, and—in some cases—result in expensive lawsuits and occasionally, criminal charges. All this makes data a toxic asset, and it continues to be toxic as long as it sits in a company's computers and networks.")

illegal behavior, but also would severely hamper any further efforts to implement widespread blocking of invalid numbers. EPIC has suggested the implementation of certain procedures that would help enhance the security of a database of blocked numbers.¹⁵

We ask that this Statement from EPIC be entered in the hearing record. EPIC looks forward to working with the Committee on these issues of vital importance to the American public.

Sincerely,

<u>/s/ Marc Rotenberg</u> Marc Rotenberg EPIC President

<u>/s/ Christine Bannan</u> Christine Bannan EPIC Policy Fellow <u>/s/ Caitriona Fitzgerald</u>

Caitriona Fitzgerald EPIC Policy Director

¹⁵ See, e.g., Reply Comments of EPIC, Advanced Methods to Target and Eliminate Unlawful Robocalls, 82 Fed. Reg. 22,625 (July 31, 2017).