ì

)

)

ì

ELECTRONIC PRIVACY INFORMATION CENTER

> Plaintiff, v.

No. 1:12-cv-00333-GK

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Defendant.

AFFIDAVIT OF MARC ROTENBERG

 My name is Marc Rotenberg, and all statements made herein are true, and based on my personal knowledge.

I am an adult resident of the District of Columbia.

3. I am employed by the Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC), and I am the President of EPIC.

I am a 1987 graduate of Stanford Law School.

5. I am a member in good standing of the Bar of the District of Columbia (admitted 1990), the Bar of Massachusetts (1987), the U.S. Supreme Court (1991), the U.S. Court of Appeals - 1st Circuit (2005), the U.S. Court of Appeals ~ 2nd Circuit (2010), the U.S. Court of Appeals - 3rd Circuit (1991), the U.S. Court of Appeals - 4th Circuit (1992), the U.S. Court of Appeals - 5th Circuit (2005), the U.S. Court of Appeals - 7th Circuit (2011), the U.S. Court of Appeals - 9th Circuit (2011), and the U.S. Court of Appeals - D.C. Circuit (1991).

6. I am the coauthor of *Information Privacy Law*. Third Edition (Aspen 2016), a leading casebook on privacy law, and coeditor of *Litigation Under the Federal Open* Government Laws (EPIC 2010), a leading practice manual on the Freedom of Information Act.

I am an Adjunct Professor at Georgetown University Law Center where I have 7. taught Information Privacy Law continuously since 1990, and The Law of Open Government since 2012.

I performed 68.9 hours of work on behalf of EPIC in the above-captioned matter. 8.

9. I was licensed to practice law for the entirety of the time spent on this matter.

This calculation is supported by the contemporaneously-generated time records 10. that I recorded throughout the litigation of the above-captioned matter,

l declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed 11. on February 5, 2016.

Marc Rotenberg

• .

ELECTRONIC PRIVACY INFORMATION CENTER)))
Plaintiff, v.))
DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY)
Defendant.))

No. 1:12-cv-00333-GK

AFFIDAVIT OF GINGER MCCALL

1. My name is Ginger McCall, and all statements made herein are true, and based on my personal knowledge.

- 2. I am an adult resident of Maryland.
- 3. I am a 2009 graduate of Cornell Law School.
- 4. I am a member in good standing of the Bar of the District of Columbia and the

Bar of Pennsylvania, and was licensed to practice law during the entirety of the time spent on behalf of the Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC) in the above-captioned matter.

5. I was employed by EPIC between September 2008 and April 2015.

- 6. I performed 34.6 hours of work on behalf of EPIC in the above-captioned matter.
- 7. This calculation is supported by the contemporaneously-generated time records

that I recorded throughout the litigation of the above-captioned matter.

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-11 Filed 02/05/16 Page 2 of 2

Ginger McCall

ELECTRONIC PRIVACY INFORMATION CENTER

> Plaintiff, v.

No. 1:12-cv-00333-GK

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Defendant.

AFFIDAVIT OF AMIE STEPANOVICH

 My name is Amie Stepanovich, and all statements made herein are true, and based on my personal knowledge.

2. I am an adult resident of the District of Columbia.

I am a 2010 graduate of New York Law School.

From September 2010 to January 2014 I was employed by the Electronic Privacy

Information Center (EPIC).

5. I am a member in good standing of the District of Columbia Bar and the New

York State Bar Association, and was licensed to practice law during the entirety of the time spent on behalf of EPIC in the above-captioned matter.

I performed 77.5 hours of work on behalf of EPIC in the above-captioned matter.

 This calculation is supported by the contemporaneously-generated time records that I recorded throughout the litigation of the above-captioned matter.

21

ELECTRONIC PRIVACY INFORMATION CENTER

> Plaintiff, v.

No. 1:12-cv-00333-GK

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Defendant.

AFFIDAVIT OF ALAN JAY BUTLER

i. My name is Alan Butler, and all statements made herein are true, and based on my personal knowledge.

I am an adult resident of the District of Columbia.

I am a 2011 graduate of UCLA School of Law.

4. I am currently employed by the Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC) as

Senior Counsel, and I am an attorney of record on the above-captioned matter.

5. I am a member in good standing of the DC Bar and the State of California Bar,

and was licensed to practice law during the entircty of the time spent in this matter.

I performed 21 hours of work on behalf of EPIC in this matter.

 This calculation is supported by the contemporaneously-generated time records that I recorded throughout the litigation of the above-captioned matter.

ster

Alan Jay Butler

ELE	CTRONIC PRIVACY
INFO	DRMATION CENTER
	Plaintiff,
	v.
DEP	ARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY
	Defendant.

No. 1:12-cv-00333-GK

AFFIDAVIT OF DAVID JACOBS

My name is David Jacobs, and all statements made herein are true, and based on 1.

my personal knowledge.

2. 1 am an adult resident of the District of Columbia.

1 am a 2011 graduate of Harvard Law School. 3.

1 am a member in good standing of the District of Columbia Bar and the New 4.

York State Bar Association.

I was licensed to practice law after being sworn-in to the New York State Bar 5.

Association on October 11, 2012.

6. I was employed by EPIC from September 2011 to 2014.

7. I performed 43.5 hours of work on behalf of EPIC in the above-captioned matter.

8. This calculation is supported by the contemporaneously-generated time records

that I recorded throughout the litigation of the above-captioned matter.

9. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed

on February 5, 2016.

David Jacobs

)

)

)

ELECTRONIC PRIVACY INFORMATION CENTER

> Plaintiff, v.

No. 1:12-ev-00333-GK

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Defendant.

AFFIDAVIT OF JERAMIE D. SCOTT

 My name is Jeramie D. Scott, and all statements made herein are true, and based on my personal knowledge.

I am an adult resident of the District of Columbia.

J am a 2012 graduate of NYU School of Law.

4.) am currently employed by the Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC) as

the National Security Counsel, and I am an attorney of record on the above-captioned matter.

5. I am a member in good standing of the DC Bar and the New York State Bar, and

was licensed to practice law during the entirety of the time spent in this matter.

6. I performed 27.6 hours of work on behalf of EPIC in this matter.

7. This calculation is supported by the contemporaneously-generated time records that I recorded throughout the litigation of the above-captioned matter.

Jeramie D. Scott

	CTRONIC PRIVACY ORMATION CENTER
	Plaintiff, v.
DEP	ARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY
	Defendant.

No. 1:12-cv-00333-GK

AFFIDAVIT OF JULIA HORWITZ

 My name is Julia Horwitz, and all statements made herein are true, and based on my personal knowledge.

2. I am an adult resident of the District of Columbia.

3. I am a 2012 graduate of University of Chicago Law School.

4. I am a member in good standing of the DC Bar and the Maryland State Bar, and

was licensed to practice law during the entirety of the time spent on behalf of the Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC) in the above-captioned matter.

5. I was employed by EPIC from September 2012 to August 2015.

I performed 76.5 hours of work on behalf of EPIC in the above-captioned matter.

7. This calculation is supported by the contemporaneously-generated time records

that I recorded throughout the litigation of the above-captioned matter.

Julia Horwitz

)

)

ELECTRONIC PRIVACY INFORMATION CENTER

> Plaintiff, v.

No. 1:12-ev-00333-GK

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Defendant.

AFFIDAVIT OF T. JOHN TRAN

I. My name is Thailam John Tran, and all statements made herein are true, and

hased on my personal knowledge.

I am an adult resident of the District of Columbia.

3. I am a 2014 graduate of the Georgetown University Law Center.

4. I am a member in good standing of the DC Bar, and was licensed to practice law

during the entirety of the time spent in this matter.

 I am currently employed by the Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC) as the EPIC FOIA Counsel.

I performed 16 hours of work on behalf of EPIC in the above-captioned matter.

 This calculation is supported by the contemporaneously-generated time records that I recorded throughout the litigation of the above-captioned matter.

ELECTRONIC PRIVACY INFORMATION CENTER))
Plaintiff,)
V.) No. 1:12-cv-00333-GK
DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY)
Defendant.))

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Upon consideration of Plaintiff's Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs, as well as any opposition and reply thereto, it is hereby

ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion is GRANTED, and it is further

ORDERED that Defendant pay Plaintiff's attorneys' fees and costs in this matter in the amount of \$110,673.24 within thirty (30) days of the date of this order, and it is further

ORDERED that, given the Parties' stipulation that no further issues are in dispute, the case is now CLOSED.

So ordered on this _____ day of _____, 2016.

GLADYS KESSLER UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

)

ELECTRONIC PRIVACY INFORMATION CENTER Plaintiff, v. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY Defendant.

No. 1:12-ev-00333-GK

DECLARATION OF T. JOHN TRAN

I. T. John Tran, do hereby state and declare as follows:

I. I am FOIA Counsel at the Electronic Privacy Information Center ("EPIC"). EPIC is a non-profit research center in Washington, D.C. that focuses public attention on emerging privacy and civil liberties issues. EPIC frequently files requests under the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA"), 5 U.S.C. § 552, and is the Plaintiff in the above-captioned matter.

 I am the billing attorney for EPIC in this case and submit this declaration in support of EPIC's Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs. All statements made herein are true, and based on my personal knowledge.

3. For every case, EPIC designates a single attorney to manage billing records. The billing attorney is responsible for reviewing billing notes kept by all attorneys working on the case, and producing a final bill of costs for submission to the court. EPIC's attorneys maintain detailed and contemporaneous billing notes that they submit to the billing attorney for entry into EPIC's billing database. The billing attorney is responsible for ensuring that these notes are entered into the billing system and that the final bill of costs submitted to the court is clear, accurate, and reasonable based on billing judgment.

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-2 Filed 02/05/16 Page 2 of 5

4. As billing attorney in this case, I was responsible for the preparation of the affidavits and billing statements submitted in support of this motion.

5. All attorneys who worked for EPIC on this case are currently licensed to practice law and all, except former EPIC attorney David Jacobs, were licensed to practice during the entire period that they worked on this matter. See Aff. of Marc Rotenberg; Aff. of Ginger McCall; Aff. of Amic Stepanovich; Aff. of Alan Jay Butler; Aff. of David Jacobs: Aff. of Jeramie D. Scott; Aff. of Julia Horwitz; Aff. of T. John Tran. Mr. Jacobs' work in this case that he performed prior to bis bar admission has been billed at the "law clerk" rate.

6. I used Legal Services Index-adjusted Laffey Matrix ("LSI Laffey Matrix") rates to calculate the lodestar amount for hours worked by EPIC's attorneys in this matter. Courts in the D.C. Circuit have found that the LSI Laffey "is a more reliable index for measuring legal hourly. billing in the Washington, D.C. area" than the version maintained by the U.S. Attorney's Office. Salazar v. District of Columbia, 991 F. Supp. 2d 39, 47 (D.D.C. 2014) (citing Salazar v. District of Columbia, 750 F. Supp. 2d 70, 72-74 (D.D.C. 2011), aff d 80 F.3d. 58 (D.C. Cir. 2015); see also Citizens for Responsibility & Ethics in Washington v. DOJ, 80 F. Supp. 3d 1 (D.D.C. 2015) (hereinafter "CREW") (approving a FOIA fee award calculated with LSI Laffey rates). As compared to the USAO Laffey Matrix, the LSI Laffey Matrix is "more likely to reflect the rate of change in prevailing legal services," it "more accurately reflects the conditions of competitionfor complex litigation," and it utilizes a more recent survey of rates. PL's Mot. Summ. J., Ex. E., Decl. Michael Kavanaugh at 7-10, CREW, 80 F. Supp. 3d, Ex. A. Evidence submitted in the CREW bigation further supports the reasonableness of the LSI Laffey rates. Compare LSI Laffey Matrix, Ex. A (calculating a 2013 billing rate for attorneys with more than 20 years of experience at \$753 per hour), and Real Rates Report 2014, Ex. B (showing that in 2013 law firm partners in

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-2 Filed 02/05/16 Page 3 of 5

D.C. with more than 21 years of experience billed at a median rate of \$706 per hour, and the "third quartile" at \$812 per hour), and Westlaw CourtExpress Legal Billing Reports, Exs. C. D (showing that in 2012, D.C.-area lawyers with 20 or more years of experience billed at an average rate of \$777 per hour), with USAO Laffey Matrix, Ex. F (suggesting that lawyers with more than 20 years of experience should bill at \$495 per hour from 2011-2012 and \$505 from 2012-2013). Thus, in order to calculate the lodestar amount in this case, 1 used rates from the LSI Laffey Matrix as approved by courts in this Circuit, Ex. A, and published by the economist who developed the methodology for the LSI Laffey Matrix, Dr. Michael Kavanaugh, Ex. E.¹

7. I reviewed the billing notes submitted by attorneys who worked on this case and used those notes to prepare a final bill of costs. I reviewed the final billing records with EPIC's attorneys prior to submission in order to ensure that they were complete and accurate. I also reviewed the final billing records and made small edits as necessary to ensure accuracy and clarity and to facilitate review by the Court.

8. The final billing records submitted in this case were generated from the detailed, contemporaneous billing records of EPIC's attorneys. *See* Aff. of Marc Rotenberg; Aff. of Ginger McCall; Aff. of Amie Stepanovich; Aff. of Alan Jay Butler; Aff. of David Jacobs; Aff. of Jeramie D. Scott; Aff. of Julia Horwitz; Aff. of T. John Tran.

9. In preparing the bill of costs, I adjusted the lodestar amount based on the specific nature of this case as well as general principles of billing judgment. I also reviewed the billing records and excluded certain hours to ensure a fair allocation of time and prevent double-billing.

10. In exercising billing judgment, I reduced the lodestar amount for work performed prior to summary judgment (i.e. work done before August 30, 2013) by 10 percent. See Bill of

¹ http://www.laffeymatrix.com/sec.html (last visited Feb. 5, 2016).

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-2 Filed 02/05/16 Page 4 of 5

Costs, Ex G. That period was marked by significant delay stemming from numerous DHS extension requests. *See. e.g.*, DHS Mot. Stay Proceedings, ECF No. 13; DHS Mot. Modify Scheduling Order, ECF No. 17; Mot. Extension of Time, ECF No. 29; Cross Mot. Modify Schedule, ECF No. 33; Mot. Extension of Time, ECF No. 45; Second Mot. Extension of Time, ECF No. 51. When the agency's delays grew excessive, prejudicing EPIC's interest in obtaining the records expeditiously, EPIC was forced to spend significant time opposing the agency's motions. *See, e.g.*, Opp'n and Cross Mot. for Order to Show Cause, ECF No. 19; Reply, ECF No. 22; Opp'n to Mot. Extension of Time, ECF No. 30; Opp'n to Mot. Modify Schedule, ECF No. 36; Mot. Extension of Time, ECF No. 30; Opp'n to Mot. Modify Schedule, ECF No. 36; Mot. Extension of Time, ECF No. 45. EPIC was ultimately successful in obtaining a court order directing the agency to produce responsive documents, *see* Order, ECF No. 39 (setting the "deadline for a <u>complete</u> production of non-exempt records [for] no later than April 15, 2013.") (emphasis in original). But in recognition of the fact that the Court granted some of the agency's requests, I exercised billing judgment to reduce the lodestar amount.

11. Based on the Court's ruling on the cross-motions for summary judgment, Mem. Op., ECF No. 68, I also reduced the lodestar amount for the work on the summary judgment motion and reply by 84 percent. Bill of Costs, Ex G. This reflects the fact that EPIC dedicated six out of 37 pages (roughly 16 percent) of its summary judgment motion (four pages) and reply brief (two pages) to issues on which EPIC successfully argued that the DHS' motion for summary judgment should be denied. *See* PL's Cross-Mot. for Summ. *L*, ECF No. 57; PL's Reply, ECF No. 63.

12. Finally, I exercised general billing judgment and reduced the total lodestar amount by 5 percent based on prevailing market standards. See Bill of Costs, Ex G.

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-2 Filed 02/05/16 Page 5 of 5

13. Based on the billing records compiled in this matter, EPIC's attorneys spent 360.1 hours working to obtain the documents and court orders in this case, and have so far spent 50.9 hours working to obtain fees in this case. See Bill of Costs, Ex G. In total, EPIC's attorneys have spent 360.1 hours on this matter. *Id.*

14. Based on the final billing records and applicable *Laffey* rates, I calculated a total lodestar amount of \$144,806.50. After making the above-mentioned adjustments to the lodestar. I determined that a reasonable fee for the time EPIC's attorneys spent litigating this matter would be \$110,323.24. *See* Bill of Costs, Ex G. This amount includes \$87,887.84 in fees for work on the underlying case and \$22,435.40 in fees-on-fees. *Id.* EPIC also seeks recovery of \$350 in costs associated with this matter. *Id.*

EPIC v. DHS, No. 12-00333 (GK) EPIC's Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs

Exhibit A

EXHIBIT E

Plaintiff's Motion for an Award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs

Citizens for Ethics and Responsibility in Washington v. Dep't of Justice, Civ. No. 11-0374 (CRC)

CITIZENS FOR RESPONSIBILITY AND ETHICS IN WASHINGTON,))
Plaintiff,))
V.) Civ. No. 11-0754 (GK)
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE,)
Defendant.)

DECLARATION OF MICHAEL KAVANAUGH

I, Michael Kavanaugh, submit this Declaration pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746.

- I am over the age of twenty-one, suffer no legal disability and am competent to make this Declaration. The statements made in this Declaration are true and correct and based on my personal knowledge.
- I am an economist in private practice at 19-4231 Road E, PO Box 1228, Volcano Hawaii, 96785.
- 3. I hold a Ph.D. in economics from the University of Cincinnati (1975) and a BA in economics from Xavier University (1970). I have taught economics at the University of Cincinnati and at Northern Kentucky University. For over 35 years, I have worked as an economist for a variety of clients including the U.S. Department of Justice, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, the U.S. Department of the Interior, the States of Ohio, California, and Alaska, citizen groups, and private industry. I have been qualified as an expert in Federal court

Casse 11112 ov 00033313 CERC Doocumeent 83935 Filieb 0021/062164 Prage 43 of 1287

in financial and economic matters many times. Attachment 1 is a copy of my resume with a listing of federal testimony and all publications in the last 10 years.

- 4. A *Laffey* Matrix has been used for over twenty years as one measure to determine appropriate fee awards for litigation between the Federal government and private parties in areas where the law provides for an award of fees; to narrow the differences in disputes among private parties over the reasonableness of fee awards; and to inform and assist Courts who may have to settle these differences.
- 5. A *Laffey* Matrix -- a sample of billing rates¹ taken at Washington D.C., area firms where complex litigation is likely to be performed -- if it is correctly updated, will provide prevailing hourly billing rates for complex litigation. The billing rates will be reported by categories that reflect differing levels of legal experience. ²
- 6. Updated *Laffey* matrices are useful. They are simple. They can ward off a second major litigation over fee awards. They save the resources needed to sample the prevailing billing rates for every fee application.
- 7. As a matter of economics, a *Laffey* matrix based on a previous sample of billing rates can provide the current market billing rate if the sampled rates are properly adjusted for the time that has passed between the date of the initial sample and the present. The key questions to an economist are what sample of billing rates should be used and by what price index should the sample be adjusted.
- 8. I favor adjusting the 1989 sample of legal services billing rates by using a price index that is specific to legal services. The 1989 sample was drawn in response to

¹ Billing rates are the price at which the service is sold.

² The labor categories are: (1) attorney with more than 20 years of experience; (2) attorney with 11 to 19 years of experience; (3) attorney with 8 to 10 years of experience; (4) attorney with 4 to 7 years of experience; (5) attorney with 1 to 3 years of experience, and; (6) paralegal or law clerk.

Casse 11112 ov 00033313 CERC Doocumeent 83935 Filieb 0021/062164 Prage 54 of f287

the remand decision in *Save Our Cumberland Mountains v. Hodel.*³ The price index is the legal services index (LSI) constructed by the U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

- 9. The United States Department of Justice, Office of the U.S. Attorney for the District of Columbia (DOJ), on the other hand, updates a sample of 1982 legal services billing rates using a regional consumer price index that combines the price changes of over a hundred thousand diverse commodities into a single measure.
- 10. A brief description of the samples of billing rates is as follows. The plaintiffs in Laffey v. Northwest Airlines, Inc.,⁴ collected information on the hourly billing rates charged to fee-paying clients in 1981-1982 by attorneys engaged in complex federal litigation and created a composite of those rates which has become known as the Laffey matrix. The 1981-1982 Laffey matrix was updated to 1988-1989 rates with a new survey in connection with the Save Our Cumberland Mountains v. Hodel, 857 F.2d 1516 (D.C. Cir. 1988)(en banc) litigation at the urging of the D.C. Circuit. The method used to find the 1989 rates is described in the declaration of Joseph Yablonski submitted in the case of Broderick v. Ruder, D.C. Civil No. 86-1824 (D.D.C.) (Pratt, J.).

³ The documentation used to find the 1989 rates is described in the declaration of Joseph Yablonski submitted in the case of *Broderick v. Ruder*, D.C. Civ. No. 86-1824 (Pratt, J.). This documentation is recognized as an appropriate means to update the observed *Laffey* rates. *See, e.g., Salazar v. The District of Columbia*, 123 F. Supp. 2d at 13, citing, <u>Sexcius v. District of Columbia</u>, 839 F.Supp. 919, 924 (D.D.C.1993); <u>Trout v. Ball</u>, 705 F.Supp. 705, 709, n. 10 (D.D.C.1989) (expressly approving use of Yablonski declaration from the *Broderick* case as an exhibit in support of fee petition) and; <u>Palmer v. Barry</u>, 704 F.Supp. 296, 298 (D.D.C.1989).

⁴ 572 F. Supp. 354 (D.D.C. 1983), affirmed in part, reversed in part on other grounds, 746 F.2d 4 (D.C. Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 472 U.S. 1021 (1985), overruled in part on other grounds,

- 11. As discussed in more detail below, indices such as the LSI or the All-Items Regional CPI sample the prices of certain goods and service and create an index. This allows a calculation of a rate of price change over various time intervals for the products and services represented by the sample. Once the rates of price change are established they may be used to make statements about changes in the cost of living or to adjust past market prices to estimate prevailing market prices.
- 12. The first time I offered an opinion on the appropriate method for adjusting the *Laffey* matrix to present time was in a 1996 affidavit that the plaintiffs submitted in *Salazar v. District of Columbia*, 123 F. Supp. 2d 8 (D.D.C. 2000), in support of their first application for attorneys' fees. A copy of that affidavit is attached as Attachment 2. I opined that using the LSI was the appropriate method for updating a *Laffey* matrix because it focused on the market for legal services rather than the cost of living and therefore was likely to be the better predictor of prevailing (market) rates. The Court explicitly adopted my analysis in its decision. *See Salazar v. District of Columbia*, 123 F. Supp. 2d 8 (D.D.C. 2000). I continue to hold the opinions expressed in that affidavit.
- Since then, I have prepared declarations/affidavits on the same topic in several other cases. Although I do not maintain a complete list of all my work, I do know my analysis was adopted in the cases of *Salazar v. District of Columbia*, 750 F. Supp. 2d 70 (D.D.C. 2011) Case 1:93-cv-00452-GK Document 1680 Filed 01/04/11; *Interfaith Community Organization v. Honeywell*, 336 F.Supp.2d 370 (D.N.J. 2004), affirmed, 426 F. 3d 694 (3d Cir. 2005), *PIRG v. Magnesium Elecktron, Inc.*, 1995 WL 866983, *2, 10 (D.N.J. Dec. 28, 1995), vacated on other

Casse 11112 ov 00033313 CERC Doocumeent 1839 35 Filiee block 0521062164 Frage 76 of f287

grounds, 123 F.3d 111 (3d Cir. 1997); *Hash et al., v. United States of America* Case 1:99-cv-00324-MHW Document 270 Memorandum decision and Order (filed 04/13/12);. and *United States of America ex rel. Robert Baker v. Community Health.* Proposed finding and Court Order, Case 1:05-cv-00279-WJ-ACT Document 594 (August 9, 2013).

- 14. Plaintiff's counsel asked me to explain why the approach I favor of adjusting the 1989 Laffey sample by the Legal Services Index (LSI) is a better estimator of the market prices for providing complex legal services than the DOJ approach of applying a Consumer Price Index for metropolitan Washington, D.C.,⁵ ("All-Items Regional CPI")⁶ to the 1983 sample; My opinions are stated to a reasonable degree of certainty under the standards of my profession.
- 15. There are three ways to distinguish between each approach. They are: (1) the specific goods and services included in each price index, (2) the characterization of the market in the provision of complex legal services, and (3) the age of the sample of rates.
- 16. All-item consumer price indices, including the All-Items Regional CPI that DOJ uses, combine the price changes of over one hundred thousand commodities into

⁵ Prior to 1998, the index included the District of Columbia, Montgomery County, MD; Prince George's County, MD; Alexandria city, VA; Arlington County, VA Fairfax County, VA Falls Church city, VA.

Since 1998, the index includes all of the areas in the older index and adds: Baltimore City and the counties of Anne Arundel, Baltimore, Calvert, Carroll, Charles, Frederick, Harford, Howard, Queen Anne's, and Washington in Maryland; the cities of Fredericksburg, Manassas, and Manassas Park and the counties of Clarke, Fauquier, King George, Loudoun, Prince William, Rappahannock, Spotsylvania, Stafford, and Warren in Virginia; and the counties of Berkeley and Jefferson in West Virginia. See http://www.bls.gov/ro3/cpiwb.htm and http://www.census.gov/population/www/metroareas/lists/historical/60mfips.txt

⁶ Both the LSI and the All-Items Regional CPI are maintained by the U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS).

Casse 11112 cov COD237313 CCFrC Doocumeent (83935 Filied bold / 0.62/1.64 Fragge 87 of 1287

a single index value to measure of the rate of price change in the overall cost of living for consumers. For example, the 2012 CPI for all urban consumers contains a legal services component, which accounts for less than .293% (.00293) of the total spending represented in the CPI.⁷ Thus, 99.997% of the spending reflected in the 2012 CPI is for items other than legal services. The component representing food and beverage prices accounts for about 15% (.15) of the total 2012 CPI. If legal billing rates were updated using this CPI, this would give fifty times more weight to food markets than to the legal services market. These same percentages apply to the All-Items Regional CPI.

- 17. In contrast, the LSI measures the fees charged for providing specific legal services. These services include preparing a brief, attending a deposition and representing parties in civil proceedings, which are services provided in complex federal litigation. Just as a national CPI does not and cannot include every item in the U.S. economy, the LSI does not and cannot include the fees charged for every possible service rendered by lawyers. The size and complexity of the U.S. economy and the practice of law makes impossible the inclusion of every good or service in an index.
- 18. It is my opinion that the use of an index specific to legal services is more likely to reflect the rate of change in prevailing billing rates for legal services than a general consumer price index. A general CPI -- whether national or regional -- includes items that are not relevant to the market for legal services. These other items are given much more weight than legal services. So when an All-Items CPI

⁷ See <u>ftp://ftp.bls.gov/pub/special.requests/cpi/cpiri2012.txt</u>. 2012 is the most recent year for which BLS reports its relative component analysis.

Casse 11112 cov COD33313 CCFVC Doocumeent (83935 Filieb 1021/052164 Frage 98 of 1287

is applied to the billing rates in the *Laffey* matrix, it obfuscates the rate of price change of legal services.

- 19. Economists try to use the most specific index available. This helps them make a more accurate assessment of the market forces that influence prices. The specific components of the CPI, such as the LSI, to the extent they are available, are the better resource to update an industry's prices than the general CPI. This is because there are two strong forces that exert pressure on prices over time. One is inflation, a rise in the general price level; the other is a supply and demand imbalance. Often for a specific good or service, supply and demand imbalances are the stronger of the two and can accentuate or negate the general effects of inflation on a particular price (e.g. billing rates for legal services). If a broad index is used to adjust an industry's prices over time, then the specific supply and demand effects are suppressed and only the effect of inflation is captured. On the other hand, when a specific index is used, the net effect of both inflation and supply and demand imbalances is captured. This is why it is preferable, and more accurate, to use a specific index rather than a broad index.
- 20. Market imbalances negating inflation may be seen in the market for electrical components and parts (*e.g.*, computers) over the last thirty years. Due to strong advances in productivity in this industry, computer prices have declined while prices generally have increased due to inflation.
- Market imbalances accentuating inflation may be seen in the market for motor fuels. From Nov-2002 to Nov-2012, fuel prices increased within the Washington D.C., area on average by 9% per year while all items increased by 2.9% per year.

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GRC Dbocomeen85935 Filed @ 2/D/5/2/64 PRgg 4.9 of 28

Thus, if the all-items data were used to update a sample of fuel costs from 2002 to 2012, the procedure would materially underestimate the change. If, for example, motor fuel in November 2002 cost \$1 per gallon, use of the CPI would estimate its cost at \$1.33 in 2012 while the specific index for motor fuel would result in an estimate of \$2.37 in 2012. The difference is an increase of \$1.04 or about 78% higher than the CPI estimate.

- 22. A second reason for using the approach I favor is that it more accurately reflects the conditions of competition for complex litigation. In my opinion the market for legal services in complex federal litigation is a national market and not a local market. This is because mobility and low-cost communication combine to make a national market for legal services in complex litigation. Washington, D.C., area law firms compete with law firms in other areas such as New York, Philadelphia, Chicago, Dallas, and San Francisco. Once access to world-class libraries was essential to a successful scientific or legal research, today reference materials are readily available online and can be accessed anywhere. Once it took at least a day to move a custom-made document more than five hundred miles, now a document can move five thousand miles in seconds. Resource mobility and low-cost communication, then, combine to make the market for legal services in complex litigation a national market.
- 23. A third reason why the way I adjust *Laffey* rates is superior to the DOJ method is because the DOJ method applies a general index to 1982 observations; I apply a specific legal services index to the most recent survey of rates developed in 1989 in response to the remand decision in *Save Our Cumberland Mountains v. Hodel*.

In general, the more contemporary the observation, the less possibility exists for forecasting error. The *Laffey* update that I have constructed, then, is more likely to be a more accurate estimate of current rates because it utilizes the more recent observations, whereas the DOJ version uses an older survey.

24. I updated the 1989 *Laffey* Matrix as follows. First, I obtained monthly data for the legal service component of the CPI maintained by the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the U.S. Department of Labor and computed the annual change measured at mid year. Second, I applied the annual change to the 1989 *Laffey* matrix rates for each labor category to produce an hourly rate for each of the six labor categories for each year since 1989. The results for June 1, 2010 to May 31, 2012 are shown below. The complete matrix is attached as Attachment 3.

Years from Law School	lune 2010 to May 2011	June 2011 to May 2012
20 +	709	734
11 to 19	588	609
8 to 10	522	540
4 to 7	361	374
1 to 3	294	305
Paralegal/ LawClerk	160	166

In my opinion these rates better reflect prevailing market rates during the relevant period than do DOJ's estimates. This is because the rates I offer begin with a more recent survey and are adjusted by an index that is focused only on the price of legal services.

25. The estimated prevailing billing rates in the table above are not a simple average of *all* billing rates of *all* law firms in an area or the nation; but are instead

Casse 11112 exv0033333 CCRC Doocumeent 1889 35 Filidee 00210521164 Fragge 121 of 1287

estimated prevailing billing rates for law firms that are providing legal services in a particular market. The particular market consists of the rates charged paying customers for providing complex legal services. The presumption is that the firms that are charging and receiving these rates are selling skills comparable to those skills needed to effectively represent civil and constitutional rights.

Executed on this 11th day of December 2013.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Michael Kavanaugh

Attachments

- 1. 2013 Kavanaugh resume
- 2. 1996 Kavanaugh declaration in Salazar
- 3. 2013 Adjusted Laffey Matrix

Attachment 1

MICHAEL KAVANAUGH Research Economist

Phone: 808 985 7031 E-mail: <u>M.Kavanaugh@att.net</u>

> P.O. Box 1228 19-4231 Road E Volcano, Hawaii 96785

PRESENT POSITION: Private Practice since 1985

PREVIOUS POSITIONS:

- Senior Economist, ICF Incorporated, 1983-85, Washington, D.C.
- Research Director, Public Interest Economics, 1976-1983, Washington, D.C. and San Francisco, CA.
- Assistant Professor, Northern Kentucky University, 1975-76

EDUCATION:

- PhD., Economics, University of Cincinnati, 1975
- BA. Economics, Xavier University, 1970

EXPERIENCE

- An independent research economist with years of experience;
- A national expert in the economic aspects of environmental enforcement and policies for controlling pollution;
- Experienced in regional economic analysis;
- Experienced in the use of economic indices;
- Experienced in valuing damages to persons, households, and commercial enterprises;
- Experienced in assessing natural resource damages; and,
- An author of groundwater management and climate change papers.

Short descriptions of selected projects follow.

ECONOMICS & FINANCE

I applied economics to many of the environmental changes of the last thirty years including:

- Estimating the ability of defendants to pay a penalty and the financial effects of penalties in enforcement cases;
- Estimating the benefits of cleaner beaches and rivers;
- Developing methods to determine the effects of water quality policies on agricultural output, employment and income;
- Developing methods to estimate the benefits of preserving groundwater quality;
- Advised on the adequacy of financial assurance mechanisms;
- Estimating expected and realized benefits of irrigation projects; and,
- Critiquing efforts to regulate effluents from several industries.

Designed and used financial after-tax, cash flow models to:

- Measure the ability to pay a penalty and the effects of penalties on financial position;
- Estimate the benefit gained by entities that violate law and regulation; and,
- Estimate the burden on the residential sector from municipal compliance with law and regulation.

Provided expert economic and litigation support services to the United States (and others) in Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act, Superfund, RCRA and groundwater quality cases.

Exxon Valdez – Estimated the employment and income effects from spending the civil settlement. The work involved characterizing the options in the restoration plan in term of input/output models.

Natural resource damage assessments

- Ohio River valued public resource damages from spills from tugs and barges. The work combined results from Natural Resource Damage Assessment models, studies of the costs of reducing risks to drinking water, and restoration costs.
- Kailua Beach State Park valued a three-mile beach based on recreational use and estimated the damage from wastewater treatment plant effluent. The work involved reviewing, updating and synthesizing a variety of studies that valued recreation.
- Florida Beaches valued beach closures from pollution at several beaches. The work involved extensive use of the Natural Resource Damage Assessment models for coastal and marine environments.

Energy & Environment

- Conducted several analyses of U.S. energy industry to estimate current and future production in wetlands and in the artic.
- Commented on economic impacts to employment and structures of planned, utility-scale photovoltaic projects in Southern California.
- Estimated consequences of oil and gas leasing in the North Aleutian Basin.
- Estimated the cost effectiveness of technologies to control produced water discharges in wetlands,
- Estimated the impact of produced water controls on production, royalties and returns from coal bed methane production.
- Estimated the change in rates needed to pay for adopting cooling water intake controls at a nuclear power plant.
- Advised environmental groups on methods to fund the WV acid mine drainage reclamation fund.
- Design team member to size and fund the Superfund.

- Estimated onshore economic impacts of outer continental shelf oil and gas development in California
- Examined the efficiency and equity of federal leasing policies for oil and gas on public lands

Global Climate

- Estimated current and future greenhouse gas emissions by fuel, sector and region. The work involved estimating long-term energy using an economic model based on prices, income and combustion technology.
- Estimated greenhouse gas emissions by jets at altitude by region and the change in emissions from adopting advanced jet technology.
- Modeled current and future emission from the US automobile fleet under various assumptions about future fuel efficiency.
- Analyzed the benefits of substituting hydrocarbon propellants for CFC propellants in aerosol products. The results showed the same level of consumer satisfaction could be obtained without CFCs and without increasing prices.

PUBLICATIONS in last 10 years

none

Federal Court Trial Testimony Since 1/09

American Canoe Association, Inc., et al. v. Louisa Water and Sewer Commission – Ashland KY. 7/2009, 01-cv-00099-ART

Ohio Valley Environmental Coalition, et al. v. Apogee Coal Company LLC – Huntington WV. 8/2010, 3:07-cv-00413-RCC

Deposition Testimony since 1/08

New Jersey Municipal Authorities et al. v. Honeywell International et al. (9/09) 05-5955 DMC

Ohio Valley Environmental Coalition, et al. v. Apogee Coal Company LLC-(8/10) 3:07-cv-00413-RCC

Ohio Valley Environmental Coalition, et al. v. Massey Energy (4/11) 3:07-cv-00836-RCC

Ohio Valley Environmental Coalition, et al. v. Arch Coal (5/11)

San Francisco Baykeeper v. West Bay Sanitation (7/11)

Attachment 2

OSCAR SALAZAR, <u>et</u> <u>al</u> .,
on behalf of themselves
and all others similarly
situated,
Plaintiffs

v.

(

(

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, et al.,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 93-452 (GK)

AFFIDAVIT OF MICHAEL KAVANAUGH

I, Michael Kavanaugh, Ph.D., do hereby affirm and state:

1. My name is Michael Kavanaugh. I am an economist doing business at 160 Wood Street, Batavia, OH 45103.

2. I hold a Ph.D. in economics from the University of Cincinnati (1975) and a B.A. in economics from Xavier University (1970). I have taught economics at the University of Cincinnati and at Northern Kentucky University. I have worked as a natural resource and environmental economist for a variety of clients, including the U.S. Department of Justice, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, environmental groups and private industry for over 20 years. I have been qualified as an expert in Federal court on financial and economic matters ten times. I copy of my curriculum vitae is attached as Attachment 1 and I incorporate it herein by reference.

3. I have been asked by plaintiffs' counsel to render my opinion as to the appropriate price index to be used to update the <u>Laffey</u> matrix of attorneys' fees rates for complex federal litigation in the Washington, D.C. market.

Casse 11112 cov COD37313 CCRC Doocumeent (851935 Filibed 1021/0521164 Frage 187 of 1287

4. Based on the information supplied to me by plaintiffs' counsel, the <u>Laffey</u> matrix was updated to 1988-1989 rates in connection with the <u>Save Our Cumberland Mountains v. Hodel</u>, 857 F.2d 1516 (D.C. Cir. 1988) (<u>en banc</u>) litigation. See Declaration of Joseph A. Yablonski, Pl. Ex. 30.

ť

(

í

(

ſ

(

ι.

ŧ

(.

(

5. The method that plaintiffs' counsel has used to determine the increase in <u>Laffey</u> rates from 1988-1989 rates to the present is to calculate the June to June change in the legal services component of the consumer price index and to apply the change to a base year to arrive at an estimate for the next year. See Plaintiffs' Application for an Award of Litigation Costs, Including Attorneys' Fees and Outof-Pocket Expenses, July 1, 1996, p. 14. The process is repeated and a chain of estimates results with each year's estimate linked to the preceding year's estimate by the change in the price index. This is an appropriate and common use of index numbers.

6. In my opinion, as explained below, the Consumer Price Index for U.S. City Average, Legal Service Fees ("Legal Services Index") maintained by the U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics (Pl. Ex. 6) is a better measure of the change in prices for legal services in Washington, D.C., than the Consumer Price Index for Washington, D.C., Maryland, Virginia, All Items ("DC Metro CPI") (Def. Ex. 2).

7. I have reviewed both the update to the <u>Laffey</u> matrix prepared by plaintiffs' counsel (Plaintiffs' Application for an Award of Litigation Costs, Including Attorneys' Fees and Out-of-Pocket Expenses, July 1, 1996, p. 14), which uses the Legal Services Index to

Casse 11112 cov 0003733 CCRC Doocumeent (83935 Filiber 1021/052/164 Fragge 128 of 1287

bring 1988-1989 rates forward to present, and the update referred to as the United States Attorneys' Office <u>Laffey</u> matrix, which utilizes the DC Metro CPI to bring 1981-1982 rates forward to present (Pl. Exs. 7, 31).

8. Both the Legal Services Index and the DC Metro CPI are readily available and are maintained by the U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. The underlying data are collected by the U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau as part of its quinquennial census and its annual surveys.

Economists use as specific an index as possible to determine 9. changes in prices in a part of an industry, such as here changes of prices in legal services in the District of Columbia. Thus, components of the Consumer Price Index are the better tool to use to update an industry's prices rather than the entire Consumer Price Index. This is because there are two strong forces exerting pressure on prices over time. One is inflation, a rise in the general price level; the other is supply and demand imbalances. Often for a particular good or service, supply and demand imbalances are the stronger of the two and can accentuate or negate inflation. If a broad index is used to adjust an industry's prices over time, then the specific supply and demand effects are suppressed and only the effect of inflation is captured. On the other hand, when a specific index is used the net effect both of inflation and of supply and demand imbalances is captured. Accordingly, to measure changes in an industry's prices, it is far preferable to use a specific index rather than a broad index.

٢.

{ .

Casse 11112 cov COD37313 CCRC Doocumeent (851935 Filibed 1021/052/164 Frage 209 of 1287

ł

ţ

ţ

 $(\cdot$

(.:

ĺ

ς.

(

10. The Consumer Price Index, U.S. City Average incorporates the Legal Service Index along with many other indices to estimate the cost of living. For example, in the 1995 Consumer Price Index, U.S. City Average for all urban consumers, legal services account for less than .5% of the spending considered by the Consumer Price Index, U.S. City Average. See Pl. Ex. 32, p. 7. In contrast, food prices account for about 16% of total Consumer Price Index, U.S. City Average spending. Adjusting legal fees using the Consumer Price Index, U.S. City Average would give 32 times more weight to food markets than to the markets for legal services.

11. The same comparison is not possible for the DC Metro CPI because the DC Metro CPI does not have a separate component for legal services. See Pl. Ex. 32, p. 13. This is because there were insufficient observations of legal services in the Washington, D.C. metropolitan area to create a statistically valid index. Legal services in the Washington, D.C. metropolitan area are not separately accounted for, but are included in the "Other goods and services category" which also includes tobacco, cosmetics, haircuts, school tuition, day care and funeral expenses. <u>Id</u>., pp. 7, 13. It is fair to conclude that legal services have even less weight in the DC Metro CPI than in the Consumer Price Index, U.S. City Average since there are not sufficient observations for a separate index relating solely to legal services.

12. The Legal Services Index measures, <u>inter alia</u>, the hourly rate of providing many different legal services to households. These services include: preparing a brief, attending a deposition,

representing plaintiffs and defendants in divorce proceedings, and preparing a short form will. Thus, the Legal Services Index is based on a sample of prices of specific items. Just as the overall Consumer Price Index does not include all items available in the U.S. economy, the Legal Services Index does not measure the fees charged for every service rendered by lawyers. Because of the extremely large size of the U.S. economy, it would not be feasible to include every price in the economy in an index.

13. It is common practice in economics to make prices for part of an industry stand for prices in the whole industry. This is what the Department of Commerce does when it prepares estimates of an industry's contribution to gross domestic product (GDP). For example, when measurements of the entire legal industry's contribution to the output of the nation are made, the legal services component of the Consumer Price Index is used; when the contribution to GDP of all physicians' services is calculated, the physician component of the Consumer Price Index is used; and when the contribution to GDP of radio, TV, air conditioning repair services is calculated, the appliance and furniture repair component of the Consumer Price Index is used.

ł

(•

ć

Ç

14. The Legal Services Index is a national index that includes the metropolitan Washington, D.C., area. Adjusting the <u>Laffey</u> matrix with a national index assumes that the rate of change of prices for legal services is about the same everywhere. I note that this is not the same thing as prices being the same everywhere. Even if prices differ in different places, the rate of change in prices is likely to

5

(

(`

 $(\cdot$

ſ

(,

ſ.

Ł

Ć

(

be about the same. With resource mobility and the ability to communicate easily over distances, this is a plausible assumption. While it is possible for prices for the same good or service to change at different rates in different places, this is most likely to happen for goods or services for which there is only a local market because their transport is expensive relative to their value (<u>e.g.</u>, fast food) or because communication is difficult.

15. In my opinion, the market for legal services in complex federal litigation in Washington, D.C. is not a local market. Therefore, it would be more appropriate to use the Legal Services Index, which captures supply and demand factors particular to the legal services market as well as inflation, as compared to the DC Metro CPI, which chiefly captures inflation effects.

16. Finally, I note that the <u>Laffey</u> matrix prepared by plaintiffs' counsel is preferable to the United States Attorneys' Office <u>Laffey</u> matrix for an additional reason. Plaintiffs' <u>Laffey</u> matrix update is based on observations from 1988-1989, while the United States Attorneys' Office <u>Laffey</u> matrix uses 1981-1982 rates as a base. In general, the more contemporary the observations, the less possibility exists for forecasting errors. Thus, plaintiffs' <u>Laffey</u> matrix is more likely to be an accurate forecast of rates because it applies an index to more recent observations to bring rates forward to the present as contrasted to the United States Attorneys' Office <u>Laffey</u> matrix which uses an index to bring forward much earlier observations.

6

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on September $\underline{\mathcal{ZG}}$, 1996.

Michael Kavanaugh

Casse 11112 exv 00037313 CERC Doocumeent (851935 Filiber 1021/052/164 Frage 22/3 of 1287

MICHABL KAVANAUGH

160 Wood St. Batavia, OH 45103-2923 Voice/Fax (513) 732-3939 E-Mail Kavanaug@iglou.com

PRESENT POSITION: Private practice, since 1985

PREVIOUS POSITIONS

Senior Economist/Project Manager, ICF Incorporated, 1983-85, Washington DC

Research Director, Public Interest Economics Foundation, 1976-83 Washington DC & San Francisco CA

Assistant Professor, Northern Kentucky University, 1975-76

EDUCATION

Ph.D., Economics, University of Cincinnati, 1975 B.A., Economics, Xavier University, 1970

EXPERIENCE

Dr. Kavanaugh is an independent research economist with over 20 years of experience in applied economics. He is a national expert in environmental enforcement and policies for municipal and industrial point sources of pollution. He has written about groundwater management and climate change. He is experienced in natural resource damage assessment and regional economic impact assessment. Selected projects include:

ECONOMICS

Provided expert economic and litigation support services to the United States (and others) in Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act, Superfund, Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Enforcement Cases. He estimates the economic benefit gained by entities who violate their discharge permits; and, measures the effect of penalties on their financial position. This work is based on financial after-tax, cash-flow models.

Prepared testimony on the benefits North Miami received from a landfill and on the economics of operating a landfill (Orange County, NY).

Prepared testimony on the influence of groundwater quality on residential property values; and advised and submitted affidavits supporting Alaska's position on oil and gas leasing in the North Aleutian Basin.

Michael Kavanaugh Voice/Fax (513) 732-3939

Casse 11 112 ex v 60033313 CCFC Doocumeent 1839 35 Hilder 10 12 10 152 164 Frage 254 of 1237

Conducted several analyses of the economic effects of water quality including: estimating the benefits of clean water on beaches and rivers; developing methods to determine the effects of water quality policies on agricultural output, employment, and income; developing methods to estimate the benefits of preserving groundwater quality; estimating expected and realized benefits and costs of irrigation projects; and critiquing efforts to regulate effluents from several industries. Examples include:

<u>Ohio River</u> - (in progress) values public resource damages from spills from tugs and barges. The work combines results from the Natural Resources Damage Assessment models for Great Lake environments, studies of the costs of reducing risks to drinking water, and restoration costs.

ĊĽ

ί.

ć

<u>Kailua Beach State Park</u> - valued a three mile public beach based on recreational use and estimated the damage to the beach from wastewater treatment plant effluent. The work involved reviewing, updating and synthesizing a variety of studies that valued recreation.

<u>Florida Beaches</u> - valued beach closures from pollution at several Florida beaches. The work involved extensive use of the Natural Resource Damage Assessment models for coastal and marine environments.

Estimated the employment and income effects from spending the <u>Exxon Valdez</u> settlement. The work involved characterising the options in the restoration plan in terms of input/output models.

Conducted several analyses of the U.S. petroleum industry to estimate current and future production in wetlands and in the arctic; and to estimate the cost effectiveness of technologies to control produced water discharges.

Estimated current and future greenhouse gas emissions by fuel, sector, and region. The work involved estimating long-term energy use using an economic model based on prices and income and forecasting combustion technolgy. The work is used by atmospheric modelers.

Advised environmental groups on the use of contingent valuation to value natural resource damages and commented on the Federal Register Notice on the use of contingent valuation to determine damages.

Michael Kavanaugh Voice/Fax (513) 732-3939

PUBLICATIONS

í

ſ

í

Ç

ζ.

٤.

"Fuel economies available from ultrahigh bypass jet engines" in <u>Cost estimates of measures available to reduce U.S. greenhouse gas</u> <u>emissions by 2010.</u> ICF Washington D.C. 1990.

"End-use efficiency and NOx emissions in aviation". In S. Meyers, ed. <u>Energy efficiency and structural change: Implications for the</u> <u>Greenhouse problem</u>. Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Berkeley CA 1988.

Estimates of future CO, N2O and NOx emissions from energy combustion, Atmospheric Environment, March 1987.

<u>Tropospheric CH4/CO/NOx: The next 50 years.</u> coauthor with Anne M. Thompson. UNEP/USEPA International Ozone Conference, 1986.

Eliminating CFCs from aerosol uses: the U.S. experience and its applicability to other nations. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, February 1986.

The 1983 world oil surplus: some implications for OCS leasing. Prepared for the U.S. House Subcommittee on the Panama Canal/OCS Washington, April 1983.

The effect of OCS leasing schedules and procedures on fair market value. Paper presented to the Western Economic Association, Seattle July 1983.

Efficient strategies for preserving groundwater quality, with Rob Wolcott. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, May 1982.

Exclusive territorial distributorships and consumer welfare: the case of beer. Food Marketing Institute, Washington D.C. 1982.

The Great Giveaway, with others, Sierra Club, October 1982.

The public benefits of the proposed Union Pacific, Missouri Pacific, Western Pacific Consolidation. Interstate Commerce Commission, August 1981

<u>Regional economic impacts of OCS oil and gas development.</u> with Susan Little and Rob Wolcott. Governor's Office of Planning and Research, California, November 1976.

Michael Kavanaugh Voice/Fax (513) 732-3939

Attachment 3

ADJUSTED LAFFEY MATRIX

			Y	EARS O	UT OF LA	W SCHO	OOL	
YEAR		ADJUSTMEN	PARALEGAL/					
		FACTOR	LAW CLERK	1-3	4-7	8-10	11-19	20+
6/1/13	5/31/14	1.0244	175	320	393	567	640	771
6/1/12	5/31/13		170	312	383	554	625	753
6/1/11	5/31/12	1.0352	166	305	374	540	609	734
6/1/10	5/31/11	1.0337	160	294	361	522	588	709
6/1/09	5/31/10	1.0220	155	285	349	505	569	686
6/1/08	5/31/09	1.0399	152	279	342	494	557	671
6/1/07	5/31/08	1.0516	146	268	329	475	536	645
6/1/06	5/31/07	1.0256	139	255	313	451	509	614
6/1/05	5/31/06	1.0427	135	248	305	440	497	598
6/1/04	5/31/05	1.0455	130	238	292	422	476	574
6/1/03	5/31/04	1.0507	124	228	280	404	456	549
6/1/02	5/31/03	1.0727	118	217	266	384	434	522
6/1/01	5/31/02	1.0407	110	202	248	358	404	487
6/1/00	5/31/01	1.0529	106	194	238	344	388	468
6/1/99	5/31/00	1.0491	101	184	226	327	369	444
6/1/98	5/31/99	1.0439	96	176	216	312	352	424
6/1/97	5/31/98	1.0419	92	168	207	299	337	406
6/1/96	5/31/97	1.0396	88	162	198	287	323	389
6/1/95	5/31/96	1.0320	85	155	191	276	311	375
6/1/94	5/31/95	1.0237	82	151	185	267	301	363
6/1/93	5/31/94	1.0552	80	147	181	261	294	355
6/1/92	5/31/93	1.0511	76	139	171	247	279	336
6/1/91	5/30/92	1.0445	72	133	163	235	265	320
6/1/90	5/31/91	1.0794	69	127	156	225	254	306
6/1/89	5/31/90	1.0700	64	118	144	209	235	284
6/1/88	5/31/89		60	110	135	195	220	265

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-4 Filed 02/05/16 Page 1 of 6

EPIC v. DHS, No. 12-00333 (GK) EPIC's Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs

Exhibit B

EXHIBIT G

Supplemental Memorandum in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for an Award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs

Citizens for Ethics and Responsibility in Washington v. Dep't of Justice, Civ. No. 11-0374 (CRC) Casse 11112 cov COD37313 CERC Doocumeent 1872 47 Hiteb 0200 E91165 Frage 32 of feb

Real N Rate 1 Report 4

The Industry's Leading Analysis of Law Firm Rates, Trends, and Practices



Datacert | TyMetrix



A Note on Comparability of Data

The data used for *The Real Rate Report* include more than \$16.2 billion in fees billed for legal services in the United States during the seven-year period from 2007 to 2013. The data comprise fees paid by 90 companies to more than 5,600 law firms and more than 206,000 timekeepers. Table 1 provides a summary description of the US dataset.

In addition, a smaller subset of data is used to provide several analyses on non-United States legal fees. These data are from 2013, including nearly \$2 million in legal fees for more than 8,000 lawyers across 103 countries.

The information is not based on surveys, sampling, or reviews of other published information but on anonymized data showing the actual hours and fees law firm personnel billed. Companies participating in this *Real Rate Report* analysis provided written consent for the use of their data. The data used to create this report exclude identifying information of participant companies and of the matters, timekeepers, and law firms billing on those companies' invoices. (For more information on the data methodology, see the Appendix.) This dataset is large enough to provide valuable guidance and represents a statistically useful portion of the \$294 billion annual US legal services business.² Am Law 100 firms alone had 2013 revenues of roughly \$77.4 billion.³ This dataset covers approximately 141,000 partners and associates—spread across more than 350 US metropolitan areas.

Again, this sample is large enough to have useful analytical power, but it certainly does not come close to covering all the lawyers in the United States who work for corporate clients. The United States Bureau of Labor Statistics estimates there are more than 592,000 lawyers practicing in the United States—53,100 lawyers in the New York area alone and another 41,500 in the Washington, DC, area.⁴

² Bureau of Economic Analysis, *Gross Output by Industry,* 2012, http://www.bea.gov/iTable/iTable.cfm?ReqID=51&step=1 #reqid=51&step

³ Aric Press, *Am Law 100 Analysis: The Super Rich Get Richer,* The American Lawyer, 28 April 2014, http://www.americanlawyer.com/id=1202651706887

⁴ Bureau of Labor Statistics, "Chart book: Occupational Employment and Wages," May 2013, http://www.bls.gov/oes/current/oes231011.htm.

Appendix A: High-Level Data Cuts

0:444	2013—Real Rate							Frend Analy	sis
	and Matter Type (E			First		Third			
City	Matter Type	Role	n	Quartile	Median	Quartile	2013 Mean	2012 Mean	2011 Mean
	Litigation	Partner	27	\$185.00	\$220.00	\$256.54	\$224.05	\$245.53	\$245.35
Syracuse, NY		Associate	24	\$161.37	\$184.18	\$195.00	\$176.57	\$164.77	\$176.22
	Non-Litigation	Partner	35	\$229.43	\$294.91	\$350.00	\$291.20	\$267.39	\$267.88
		Associate	15	\$175.00	\$196.00	\$225.00	\$202.40	\$177.77	\$173.50
	Litigation	Partner	18	\$325.00	\$342.50	\$420.00	\$354.35	\$347.28	\$340.87
Tallahassee, FL	Lingation	Associate	10	\$175.00	\$262.15	\$295.00	\$257.50	\$231.38	\$191.78
	Non-Litigation	Partner	25	\$323.97	\$375.00	\$405.00	\$379.89	\$322.54	\$317.16
		Associate	10	\$225.00	\$270.00	\$302.29	\$291.73	\$200.86	\$224.04
	Litigation	Partner	103	\$225.35	\$275.00	\$373.50	\$307.73	\$318.83	\$317.64
Tampa, FL		Associate	96	\$185.00	\$215.96	\$272.50	\$232.76	\$202.70	\$205.25
Tampa, T L	Non-Litigation	Partner	107	\$295.00	\$375.00	\$445.00	\$375.95	\$366.26	\$348.06
	Non-Litigation	Associate	69	\$205.00	\$250.00	\$280.00	\$247.36	\$234.04	\$227.61
	Litigation	Partner	39	\$327.40	\$457.32	\$550.00	\$435.79	\$430.00	\$428.08
Troptop NU		Associate	42	\$180.00	\$276.09	\$395.00	\$294.04	\$284.94	\$274.18
Trenton, NJ	Non-Litigation	Partner	40	\$376.50	\$468.49	\$528.00	\$450.45	\$446.77	\$463.74
	Non-Litigation	Associate	34	\$210.00	\$295.00	\$360.00	\$303.41	\$298.12	\$292.45
	Litientien	Partner	20	\$210.50	\$242.50	\$311.58	\$280.85	\$297.57	\$270.24
Tules OK	Litigation	Associate	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tulsa, OK	Non Litiention	Partner	20	\$234.00	\$267.50	\$320.00	\$275.54	\$248.20	\$240.07
	Non-Litigation	Associate	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Partner	18	\$300.00	\$329.25	\$360.00	\$343.95	\$343.18	\$349.64
	Litigation	Associate	10	\$191.94	\$212.50	\$250.00	\$228.03	\$262.09	\$234.76
Virginia Beach, VA		Partner	22	\$300.00	\$340.00	\$356.00	\$339.55	\$352.40	\$318.18
	Non-Litigation	Associate	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Partner	1,022	\$550.00	\$660.00	\$765.43	\$656.77	\$644.82	\$617.06
	Litigation	Associate	961	\$325.00	\$398.90	\$495.00	\$412.19	\$401.49	\$380.80
Washington, DC		Partner	1,685	\$541.00	\$652.50	\$773.03	\$656.44	\$649.25	\$627.49
	Non-Litigation	Associate	1,673	\$310.00	\$393.48	\$489.00	\$410.03	\$408.38	\$391.50
		Partner	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	Litigation	Associate	44	\$270.00	\$316.27	\$419.00	\$333.23	\$286.35	\$276.58
Wheeling, WV		Partner	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	Non-Litigation	Associate	70	\$264.06	\$366.86	\$428.20	\$344.79	\$302.83	\$295.93

Appendix A: High-Level Data Cuts

Expe	2013—Real Rates for Partners by Years of Experience and City (Excluding Insurance Defense; Continued)								
City	Years of Experience	n	First Quartile	Median	Third Quartile	2013 Mean	2012 Mean	2011 Mean	
Tellebooose El	Less Than 21 Years	12	\$319.50	\$342.50	\$442.27	\$359.24	\$313.69	\$301.58	
Tallahassee, FL	21 or More Years	23	\$328.15	\$375.00	\$480.00	\$400.24	\$355.69	\$347.79	
Tamana El	Less Than 21 Years	69	\$250.00	\$295.00	\$345.35	\$299.30	\$295.78	\$298.01	
Tampa, FL	21 or More Years	75	\$275.00	\$375.77	\$450.00	\$368.04	\$375.34	\$369.68	
Trouton NU	Less Than 21 Years	31	\$345.00	\$425.00	\$502.85	\$415.86	\$447.29	\$430.40	
Trenton, NJ	21 or More Years	28	\$387.50	\$481.42	\$590.43	\$469.84	\$424.71	\$484.67	
Talas OK	Less Than 21 Years	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Tulsa, OK	21 or More Years	20	\$235.00	\$306.58	\$345.00	\$301.57	\$302.45	\$287.43	
Marcinia Decela V(A	Less Than 21 Years	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Virginia Beach, VA	21 or More Years	16	\$329.25	\$353.00	\$440.00	\$390.70	\$414.53	\$391.45	
Machington DC	Less Than 21 Years	805	\$510.00	\$607.50	\$705.00	\$608.91	\$595.71	\$586.91	
Washington, DC	21 or More Years	1,098	\$595.00	\$706.85	\$812.39	\$698.92	\$692.36	\$665.61	

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-5 Filed 02/05/16 Page 1 of 5

EPIC v. DHS, No. 12-00333 (GK) EPIC's Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs

Exhibit C

EXHIBIT E

Supplemental Memorandum in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for an Award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs

Citizens for Ethics and Responsibility in Washington v. Dep't of Justice, Civ. No. 11-0374 (CRC)

Westlaw Court Express

LEGAL BILLING REPORT

VOLUME 14, NUMBER 1

May 2012

DC REGION

BY BILLING RATE

Casse 11112 ev + 00033313 CCFC Doocumeent 1872 55 Filidee 0020/0591165 Fragge 43 coff54

	PROFESSIONAL	<u>FIRM</u>	GRADUATED	ADMITTED	STATE	RATE	HOURS	TOTAL
Partner	Paul D. Marquardt	Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP	1994	1996	DC	\$1,065.00	7.00	\$ 7,455.00
Partner	Roger Frankel	Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP	1971	1971	DC	995.00	69.00	68,655.00
Partner	David Cohen	Milbank Tweed Hadley & McCloy LLP	1994	1994	DC	950.00	5.10	4,845.00
Member	Peter Lockwood	Caplin & Drysdale, Chartered	1966	1968	DC	905.00	19.90	18,009.50
Partner	R. Scott Kilgore	Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP	1985	1985	DC	875.00	0.10	87.50
Partner	Richard Wyron	Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP	1979	1979	DC	875.00	55.60	48,650.00
Partner	Douglas G. Gibson	Covington & Burling LLP	1990	1990	DC	855.00	7.00	5,985.00
Associate	Michael Collins	Gibson Dunn & Crutcher, LLP	1995	1995	DC	855.00	5.60	4,788.00
Partner	David R. Hill	Sidley Austin LLP	1988	1989	DC	825.00	13.00	10,725.00
Partner	Ralph Miller	Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	1972	1972	DC	775.00	100.00	77,500.00
Of Counsel	Edward L. Froelich	Morrison & Foerster LLP	1994	1997	DC	760.00	6.10	4,636.00
Partner	Howard B Jacobson	Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP	1979	1979	DC	755.00	74.50	56,247.50
Of Counsel	Mary Wallace	Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP	1989	1989	DC	740.00	0.10	74.00
Partner	Edward L. Rubinoff	Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP	1975	1975	DC	725.00	88.20	63,945.00
Partner	Tom W. Davidson	Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP	1977	1991	DC	720.00	50.10	36,072.00
Partner	Karol A Kepchar	Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP	1992	1992	DC	715.00	4.90	3,503.50
Associate	Aaron Renenger	Milbank Tweed Hadley & McCloy LLP	2002	2002	DC	695.00	14.80	10,286.00
Partner	Ronald Reinsel	Caplin & Drysdale, Chartered	1986	1986	DC	690.00	1.10	759.00
Partner	Zachary Wittenberg	Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP	1996	1999	DC	680.00	257.40	175,032.00
Counsel	Kurt H. Jacobs	Sidley Austin LLP	1990	1993	DC	675.00	10.60	7,155.00
Senior Associate	e Debra Felder	Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP	2002	2002	DC	650.00	34.90	22,685.00
Counsel	Joanna F. Newdeck	Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP	2004	2006	DC	650.00	8.60	5,590.00
Associate	Nicholas Bassett	Milbank Tweed Hadley & McCloy LLP	2007	2007	DC	650.00	208.30	135,395.00
Member	Ann C. McMillan	Caplin & Drysdale, Chartered	1984	1984	DC	645.00	15.20	9,804.00
Member	Bernard Bailor	Caplin & Drysdale, Chartered	1970	1970	DC	645.00	55.00	35,475.00
Counsel	James Stenger	Chadbourne & Parke LLP	1978	1978	DC	645.00	33.90	21,865.50
Associate	Lisa Ewart	Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP	2005	2005	DC	645.00	32.70	21,091.50
Associate	Edward Holzwanger	Kirkland & Ellis LLP	2001	2004	DC	635.00	0.80	508.00
Partner	Michael Seidel	Pachulski Stang Ziehl Young Jones & Weintraub	1996	1996	DC	615.00	10.90	6,703.50
Counsel	Kimberly Reindl	Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP	1996	1998	DC	575.00	3.30	1,897.50
Associate	Scott Roades	Covington & Burling LLP	1998	1999	DC	565.00	6.20	3,503.00
Associate	Denise Linton	Milbank Tweed Hadley & McCloy LLP	2010	2010	DC	550.00	132.90	73,095.00
Associate	Kristopher L. Kirkwood	Sidley Austin LLP	2004	2005	DC	540.00	12.20	6,588.00
Associate	Kyle J. Fiet	Sidley Austin LLP	2007	2007	DC	540.00	45.90	24,786.00
Member	Jeffrey Liesemer	Caplin & Drysdale, Chartered	1993	1993	DC	535.00	120.40	64,414.00
Of Counsel	Kevin Maclay	Caplin & Drysdale, Chartered	1994	1994	DC	535.00	60.20	32,207.00
Member	Marceka Stras	Cozen O'Connor	1978	1980	DC	465.00	4.60	2,139.00
Associate	Christina Totino	Milbank Tweed Hadley & McCloy LLP	2011	2011	DC	460.00	20.20	9,292.00
Member	Ann Mickey	Cozen O'Connor	1976	1976	DC	450.00	13.50	6,075.00
Associate	Carolyn E. Perez	Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP	2007	2010	DC	450.00	21.70	9,765.00
Associate	Adam C. Doverspike	Sidley Austin LLP	2009	2010	DC	445.00	12.80	5,696.00
Associate	Joshua D. Mckarcher	Covington & Burling LLP	2008	2008	DC	445.00	4.30	1,913.50
Of Counsel	Sean I. Kahng	Caplin & Drysdale, Chartered	1998	1999	DC	400.00	15.70	6,280.00
Associate	Jeanna Rickards Koski	Caplin & Drysdale, Chartered	2004	2009	DC	380.00	152.80	58,064.00
Member	Trevor Swett	Caplin & Drysdale, Chartered	1981	1982	DC	355.00	9.80	3,479.00
Associate	Andrew Sackett	Caplin & Drysdale, Chartered	2005	2005	DC	340.00	152.80	51,952.00
Associate	Todd E. Phillips	Caplin & Drysdale, Chartered	2005	2009	DC	340.00	174.50	59,330.00
				1005		007.50	7.50	0,000,05
Of Counsel	Leslie Kelleher	Caplin & Drysdale, Chartered	1985	1985	DC	307.50	7.50	2,306.25

Casse 11112 ev + 0003333 CCFC Doocumeent 1872 55 Filiee blo200591165 Frage 54 of 154

	PROFESSIONAL	<u>FIRM</u>	<u>GRADUATED</u>	ADMITTED	<u>STATE</u>	RATE	HOURS	TOTAL
Associate	Elizabeth Wadsworth	Cozen O'Connor	1992	2003	DC	\$ 275.00	1.20	\$ 330.00
Associate	Kate G. Henningsen	Caplin & Drysdale, Chartered	2010	2010	DC	240.00	124.60	29,904.00
Associate	Jamie S. Kaplan	Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	2005	2005	DC	50.00	6.60	330.00

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-6 Filed 02/05/16 Page 1 of 6

EPIC v. DHS, No. 12-00333 (GK) EPIC's Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs

Exhibit D

EXHIBIT F

Supplemental Memorandum in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for an Award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs

Citizens for Ethics and Responsibility in Washington v. Dep't of Justice, Civ. No. 11-0374 (CRC)

Westlaw Court Express

LEGAL BILLING REPORT

VOLUME 14, NUMBER 2

August 2012

DC REGION

BY BILLING RATE

Casse 11112 ev + 00033313 CFKC Doocumeent 1872 66 Filiee b 0200 B91165 Frage 43 co f 65

	PROFESSIONAL	<u>FIRM</u>	GRADUATED	ADMITTED	<u>STATE</u>	RATE	HOURS	TOTAL
Partner	Donald Elliot	Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP	1974	1975	DC	\$1,090.00	4.40	\$ 4,796.00
Partner	David R. Berz	Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	1973	1973	DC	1,000.00	2.00	2,000.00
Partner	Blake D. Rubin	McDermott, Will & Emery LLP	1980	1988	DC	995.00	100.80	100,296.00
Partner	Roger Frankel	Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP	1971	1971	DC	995.00	62.80	62,486.00
Partner	Robin Greenhouse	McDermott, Will & Emery LLP	1984	1988	DC	900.00	19.40	17,460.00
Partner	Scott M. Flicker	Paul Hastings LLP	1988	1988	DC	900.00	203.20	182,880.00
Shareholder	Robert Horkovich	Anderson Kill & Olick LLP	1980	1980	DC	895.00	4.20	3,759.00
Partner	Andrea M. Whiteway	McDermott, Will & Emery LLP	1992	1992	DC	885.00	93.20	82,482.00
Partner	Richard Wyron	Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP	1979	1979	DC	875.00	26.50	23,187.50
Partner	Richard V. Smith	Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP	1983	1983	DC	875.00	0.60	525.00
Partner	John M. Sipple	Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	1973	1980	DC	860.00	1.00	860.00
Partner	David F. Geneson	Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP	1974	1979	DC	830.00	26.30	21,829.00
Partner	Neal Mollen	Paul Hastings LLP	1985	1985	DC	820.00	176.50	144,730.00
Counsel	William Thomas	Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP	1986	1990	DC	790.00	11.50	9,085.00
Partner	James Sottile	Zuckerman Spaeder LLP	1985	1986	DC	790.00	89.20	70,468.00
Partner	Gary M. Ford	Groom Law Group	1977	1977	DC	787.50	80.80	63,630.00
Partner	Michael J. Wilder	McDermott, Will & Emery LLP	1992	1995	DC	780.00	4.80	3,744.00
Partner	Ralph Miller	Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	1972	1972	DC	775.00	108.80	84,320.00
Partner	Louis T. Mazawey	Groom Law Group	1975	1975	DC	769.50	3.00	2,308.50
Partner	Jon G. Finkelstein	McDermott, Will & Emery LLP	1999	2001	DC	765.00	45.70	34,960.50
Member	John Feore	Dow Lohnes PLLC	1974	1975	DC	760.00	34.70	26,372.00
Of Counsel	Edward L. Froelich	Morrison & Foerster LLP	1994	1997	DC	760.00	22.10	16,796.00
Partner	Patrick Potter	Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP	1989	1991	DC	750.00	20.20	15,150.00
Partner	Lawrence Bard	Morrison & Foerster LLP	1993	1995	DC	725.00	0.40	290.00
Partner	Andree St. Martin	Groom Law Group	1983	1983	DC	711.00	7.30	5,190.30
Associate	Johanna Hickman	Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP	2006	2008	DC	690.00	9.20	6,348.00
Partner	Lonie A. Hassel	Groom Law Group	1980	1980	DC	679.50	58.90	40,022.55
Partner	Thomas Gigot	Groom Law Group	1984	1984	DC	675.00	0.20	135.00
Attorney	Margaret Spurlin	Paul Hastings LLP	1978	1979	DC	675.00	38.30	25,852.50
Principal	Karl Bourdeau	Beveridge & Diamond, P.C.	1978	1978	DC	657.80	44.10	29,008.98
Principal	Mark L. Lofgren	Groom Law Group	1982	1982	DC	652.50	67.20	43,848.00
Member	Ann Swanson	Dow Lohnes PLLC	1979	1981	DC	650.00	24.30	15,795.00
Member	John Logan	Dow Lohnes PLLC	1977	1979	DC	650.00	57.50	 37,375.00
Senior Associa	te Debra Felder	Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP	2002	2002	DC	650.00	28.80	18,720.00
Attorney	Andrew B. Rogers	Paul Hastings LLP	2005	2005	DC	620.00	146.40	90,768.00

Casse 11112 ev + 00033313 CFKC Doocumeent 1872 66 Filiee b 0200 E91 65 Frage 54 of 65

	PROFESSIONAL	<u>FIRM</u>	GRADUATED	ADMITTED	STATE	RATE	HOURS	1	TOTAL
Partner	Brigen Winters	Groom Law Group	1994	1994	DC	\$ 612.00	5.60	\$	3,427.20
Partner	John McGuiness	Groom Law Group	1993	1993	DC	612.00	0.50		306.00
Partner	P. Andrew Torrez	Zuckerman Spaeder LLP	1997	1997	DC	610.00	13.50		8,235.00
Partner	Donald L. Havermann	Morgan Lewis & Bockius, LLP	1980	1980	DC	603.00	2.20		1,326.60
Partner	Thomas E. Reinert	Morgan Lewis & Bockius, LLP	1980	1980	DC	603.00	48.00		28,944.00
Counsel	John P. Rynkiewicz	Kaye Scholer LLC	1981	1981	DC	587.00	4.83		2,835.21
Associate	Intra L. Germanis	Paul Hastings LLP	1992	1992	DC	580.00	10.60		6,148.00
Partner	Christine L. Keller	Groom Law Group	1996	1996	DC	576.00	3.40		1,958.40
Associate	Lars Golumbic	Groom Law Group	1998	1998	DC	576.00	39.50		22,752.00
Partner	Sheldon M. Kline	Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP	1987	1988	DC	575.00	169.90		97,692.50
Principal	Mark C Nielsen	Groom Law Group	1997	1997	DC	558.00	107.70		60,096.60
Member	Christina Burrow	Dow Lohnes PLLC	1993	1994	DC	550.00	36.60		20,130.00
Associate	James Burke	Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP	2008	2008	DC	550.00	2.10		1,155.00
Principal	Aaron H. Goldberg	Beveridge & Diamond, P.C.	1985	1985	DC	547.40	1.80		985.32
Partner	Christopher M. Loveland	Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP	2000	2001	DC	525.00	55.90		29,347.50
Associate	Maria T. Davis	Paul Hastings LLP	2009	2009	DC	520.00	165.30		85,956.00
Associate	Sarah A. Zumwalt	Groom Law Group	2003	2003	DC	513.00	65.90		33,806.70
Associate	Gale E. Chan	McDermott, Will & Emery LLP	2008	2008	DC	500.00	11.10		5,550.00
Associate	Jason H. Lee	Groom Law Group	2006	2006	DC	490.50	18.00		8,829.00
Associate	Julia E. Zuckerman	Groom Law Group	2005	2005	DC	490.50	47.60		23,347.80
Associate	Dania Slim	Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP	2008	2008	DC	490.00	33.30		16,317.00
Senior Counsel	Jason Rademacher	Dow Lohnes PLLC	2000	2000	DC	470.00	4.00		1,880.00
Partner	Jay Ward Brown	Levine Sullivan Koch & Schulz LLP	1992	1992	DC	455.00	0.20		91.00
Partner	Seth D. Berlin	Levine Sullivan Koch & Schulz LLP	1991	1991	DC	455.00	0.60		273.00
Associate	Karin H. Johnson	Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP	2007	2007	DC	455.00	113.00		51,415.00
Associate	Rose J. Zaklad	Groom Law Group	2006	2006	DC	454.50	102.90		46,768.05
Member	Christopher Meazell	Dow Lohnes PLLC	2001	2001	GA	450.00	9.70		4,365.00
Senior Counsel	Maureen M. Nagle	Dow Lohnes PLLC	1999	2000	NY	450.00	9.30		4,185.00
Associate	Andrew Blair-Stanek	McDermott, Will & Emery LLP	2008	2009	DC	435.00	63.70		27,709.50
Partner	Thomas Curley	Levine Sullivan Koch & Schulz LLP	2000	2000	DC	430.00	0.20		86.00
Associate	Dana M. Parsons	Paul Hastings LLP	2010	2010	DC	410.00	100.90		41,369.00
Associate	Robert Folliard	Dow Lohnes PLLC	2005	2006	DC	390.00	21.50		8,385.00
Associate	Cole Parker	McDermott, Will & Emery LLP	2010	2010	DC	365.00	16.80		6,132.00
Associate	Derek H. Teslik	Dow Lohnes PLLC	2007	2009	DC	350.00	3.20		1,120.00
Associate	Marianne Hogan	Morgan Lewis & Bockius, LLP	2006	2009	DC	348.50	1.70		592.45

Casse 11112 ev + 00033313 CFKC Doocumeent 1872 66 Filiee b 0200 B91165 Frage 65 coff65

	PROFESSIONAL	<u>FIRM</u>	GRADUATED	ADMITTED	STATE	RATE	HOURS	TOTAL
Associate	Shaina D. Jones	Levine Sullivan Koch & Schulz LLP	2009	2009	DC	\$ 335.00	6.00	\$ 2,010.00
Associate	Allison B. Rogers	Groom Law Group	2010	2010	DC	319.50	137.00	43,771.50
Associate	Kevin Walsh	Groom Law Group	2009	2009	DC	319.50	51.00	16,294.50
Associate	David R. Broderdorf	Morgan Lewis & Bockius, LLP	2008	2009	DC	318.75	3.20	1,020.00
Associate	Kerry Stotler	Dow Lohnes PLLC	2009	2010	DC	310.00	12.90	3,999.00
Associate	Jayni Lanham	Beveridge & Diamond, P.C.	2008	2008	DC	303.60	8.60	2,610.96
Associate	Ryan J. Rosner	Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP	2011	2011	DC	285.00	69.40	19,779.00
Paraprofessional	Laurie McCarthy	Dow Lohnes PLLC				280.00	73.20	20,496.00
Paraprofessional	Jer-Wei Chen	Zuckerman Spaeder LLP				280.00	0.30	84.00
Paraprofessional	Lisa Gehlbach	Zuckerman Spaeder LLP				280.00	3.30	924.00
Associate	Ryan Temme	Groom Law Group	2011	2011	DC	274.50	124.30	34,120.35
Paraprofessional	Debra O. Fullem	Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP				270.00	14.20	3,834.00
Associate	Lindsey Selba	Beveridge & Diamond, P.C.	2010	2010	DC	257.60	9.80	2,524.48
Paraprofessional	Carl P. Jaworski	Beveridge & Diamond, P.C.				257.60	6.00	1,545.60
Paraprofessional	Susan Anderson	Dow Lohnes PLLC				250.00	9.30	2,325.00
Paraprofessional	Allyson Mejia	Dow Lohnes PLLC				240.00	12.70	3,048.00
Paraprofessional	Christian J. Pena	Dow Lohnes PLLC				220.00	3.90	858.00
Paraprofessional	Jennifer P. Burke	Levine Sullivan Koch & Schulz LLP				195.00	0.30	58.50
Paraprofessional	Elizabeth Wolk	Beveridge & Diamond, P.C.				179.40	3.50	627.90
Paraprofessional	Danielle Nelson	Groom Law Group				171.00	24.10	4,121.10

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-7 Filed 02/05/16 Page 1 of 3

EPIC v. DHS, No. 12-00333 (GK) EPIC's Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs

Exhibit E

History

Case Law

Expert Opinio

See the Matr

Contact us

Home

Links



	Law School *						
Year	Adjustmt Factor**	Paralegal/ Law Clerk	1-3	4-7	8-10	11-19	20 +
6/01/15- 5/31/16	1.0089	\$180	\$331	\$406	\$586	\$661	\$796
6/01/14- 5/31/15	1.0235	\$179	\$328	\$402	\$581	\$655	\$789
6/01/13- 5/31/14	1.0244	\$175	\$320	\$393	\$567	\$640	\$771
6/01/12- 5/31/13	1.0258	\$170	\$312	\$383	\$554	\$625	\$753
6/01/11- 5/31/12	1.0352	\$166	\$305	\$374	\$540	\$609	\$734
6/01/10- 5/31/11	1.0337	\$161	\$294	\$361	\$522	\$589	\$709
6/01/09- 5/31/10	1.0220	\$155	\$285	\$349	\$505	\$569	\$686
6/01/08- 5/31/09	1.0399	\$152	\$279	\$342	\$494	\$557	\$671
6/01/07-5/31/08	1.0516	\$146	\$268	\$329	\$475	\$536	\$645
6/01/06-5/31/07	1.0256	\$139	\$255	\$313	\$452	\$509	\$614
6/1/05-5/31/06	1.0427	\$136	\$249	\$305	\$441	\$497	\$598
6/1/04-5/31/05	1.0455	\$130	\$239	\$293	\$423	\$476	\$574
6/1/03-6/1/04	1.0507	\$124	\$228	\$280	\$405	\$456	\$549
6/1/02-5/31/03	1.0727	\$118	\$217	\$267	\$385	\$434	\$522
6/1/01-5/31/02	1.0407	\$110	\$203	\$249	\$359	\$404	\$487
6/1/00-5/31/01	1.0529	\$106	\$195	\$239	\$345	\$388	\$468
6/1/99-5/31/00	1.0491	\$101	\$185	\$227	\$328	\$369	\$444
6/1/98-5/31/99	1.0439	\$96	\$176	\$216	\$312	\$352	\$424
6/1/97-5/31/98	1.0419	\$92	\$169	\$207	\$299	\$337	\$406
6/1/96-5/31/97	1.0396	\$88	\$162	\$198	\$287	\$323	\$389
6/1/95-5/31/96	1.032	\$85	\$155	\$191	\$276	\$311	\$375
6/1/94-5/31/95	1.0237	\$82	\$151	\$185	\$267	\$301	\$363

The methodology of calculation and benchmarking for this Updated Laffey Matrix has been approved in a number of cases. See, e.g., McDowell v. District of Columbia, Civ. A. No.

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 17 Filed 02/05/16 Page 3 of 3 00-594 (RCL), LEXSEE 2001 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 8114 (D.D.C. June 4, 2001); Salazar v. Dist. of Col., 123 F.Supp.2d 8 (D.D.C. 2000).

* "Years Out of Law School" is calculated from June 1 of each year, when most law students graduate. "1-3" includes an attorney in his 1st, 2nd and 3rd years of practice, measured from date of graduation (June 1). "4-7" applies to attorneys in their 4th, 5th, 6th and 7th years of practice. An attorney who graduated in May 1996 would be in tier "1-3" from June 1, 1996 until May 31, 1999, would move into tier "4-7" on June 1, 1999, and tier "8-10" on June 1, 2003.

** The Adjustment Factor refers to the nation-wide Legal Services Component of the Consumer Price Index produced by the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the United States Department of Labor.

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-8 Filed 02/05/16 Page 1 of 2

EPIC v. DHS, No. 12-00333 (GK) EPIC's Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs

Exhibit F

LAFFEY MATRIX 2003-2014	
(2009-10 rates were unchanged from 2008-09 rates)	

		Years	(Rate for	Jone 1 - S	May 31. b	esed on p	tion year's	CPI-U)			
Experience	03-04	04-05	05-06	06-07	07-08	08-09	09-10	[0-!!	11-12	12-13	13-14
20 · years	380	390	405	425	440	465	465	475	405	505	510
11-19 years	335	345	160	375	390	410	410	420	435	445	4,540
8-10 years	270	280	290	305	315	339	110	3.15	350	355	360
4-7 years	320	225	235	245	255	370	270	275	285	290	295
1-3 years	180	185	195	205	215	225	225	230	240	245	250
Paralogais & Law Clerks	10.5	110	115	120	125	130	130	135	140	145	145

Feplanatory Nates

- This matrix of hourly rates for attorneys of varying experience levels and paralegals/law clerks has been Ι. prepared by the Civil Division of the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Columbia. The matrix is intended to be used in cases in which a "fee-shifting" statute permits the prevailing party to recover "reasonable" attorney's fees | See, e.g., 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(k) (Title VII of the 1964 Civil Rights Act), 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(1) (Freedom of Information Act); 28 U.S.C. § 2412 (b) (Equal Access to Instice Act). The matrix does not apply in cases in which the lionely rate is limited by statute. See 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d).
- This matrix is based on the nourly rates stlowers by the District Court in Faffey = Northerest Arrhows, bit , 5722. F. Supp. 354 (D.D.C. 1984), affed in part, revel in part on other grounds, 746 F 2d 4 (D.C. Cit. 1984), cost deuled, 472 U.S. 1021 (1985). It is canneally referred to by attorneys and federal judges in the District of Columbia as the Lafley Matrix" or the "United States Attorney's Office Matrix." The column headed "Experience" refers to the years following the attorney's graduation from law school. The various "brackets" are aptended to conceptend to "junior associates" (1+3 years after law school graduation), "senior associates" (4-7 years), "experienced federal coart Inigators" (8-10 and 11-19 years), and "very exterienced federal court ittigators" (20 years or more). See Laffet, 572 F. Supp. at 571.
- The hearly rates approved by the Dastriet Court in Laffey were for work done principally in 1981-82. The З. Matrix hogins with those rates. See Laffey, 572 F. Supp. at 371 (attorney rates) & 386 a.74 (paralogal and law clerk rate). The rates for subsequent yearly periods were determined by aiteing the change in the cest of living for the Washington, D.C. area to the applicable rate for the prior year, and then rounding to the nearest multiple of \$5 (up if within \$3 of the next multiple of \$5). The result is subject to adjustment if appropriate to ensure that the relationship between the highest rate and the lower rates remains reasonably constant. Changes in the cost of living are measured by the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (CPIsC) for Washington-Babintore, DC-MD-VA-WV, as announced by the Bureau of Labor Souistics for May of each year.
- Use of an updated Laftey Matrix was implicitly endursed by the Court of Appeals in Sirce One Comberland 4 Monatalities v. Hockel, 857 F 2d 1516, 1525 (D.C. Cir. 1988) (on bane) The Court of Appeals subsequently stated that parties may rely on the updated Laffey Matrix prepared by the United States Attorney's Office as evidence of prevailing market rates for trigation counsel in the Washington, D.C. area. See Costigion v. Destruct of Columbia, 57 F.3d 1101, 1105 & n. 14, 1109 (D.C., Cir. 1995), cert. denied, 516 U.S. 1115 (1996). (lower federal courts in the District of Columbia have used this updated Laffer Matrix when determining whether fee awards under feeschifting statutes are reasonable. See, e.g., Hackman v. Disinet of Columbia, 59 F. Supp. 2d 37, 43 (D.D.C. 1999); defferson v. Mileets System Lechnology, Inc., 986 F. Supp. 6, 31 (D.D.C. 1997), Ralph Hour & Associates v. Sol? Highway Printportation Solety Admin., 985 F. Supp. 1, 9-10 n 3. (D.D.C. 1997); Martini v. Fed. Nat'l Mig. Ass'n, 977 F. Supp. 482, 485 n.2 (D.D.C. 1997); Park v. Howard Conversity, 881 F. Supp. 653, 654 (D.D.C. 3995).

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 1 of 52

EPIC v. DHS, No. 12-00333 (GK) EPIC's Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs

Exhibit G

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

ELECTRONIC PRIVACY INFORMATION CENTER	
Plaintiff, v.	
DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY))))
Defendant.)

No. 1:12-00333-GK

BILL OF FEES AND COSTS

Pre-Summary Judgment (March 1, 2012 through Aug. 19, 2013):

Subtotal: Discount factor (10%) Discounted Subtotal:	\$95,629.10 (\$9,562.91) \$86,066.19
Summary Judgment (Aug. 30, 2013 through Nov. 25, 2013):	
Subtotal: Discount factor (84%) Discounted Subtotal:	\$22,754.60 (\$19,113.86) \$ 3,640.74
Post-Summary Judgment (Aug. 4, 2015 through Oct. 27, 2015):	\$3,987.40
Fees on fees:	\$22,435.40
SUBTOTAL (all fees): Discount factor (5%)	\$116,129.73 (\$5,806.49)
TOTAL FEES:	\$110,323.24
TOTAL COSTS:	\$350.00
GRAND TOTAL:	\$110,673.24

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 3 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

Date At	torney Name	Hours	Rate	Amount
2/29/2012	Amie Stepanovich	1.0	\$305.00	\$305.00
	Description: Draft complaint			
3/1/2012	Amie Stepanovich	1.0	\$305.00	\$305.00
	Description: File complaint and associated documen	ts via ECF		
3/1/2012	Marc Rotenberg	0.1	\$734.00	\$73.40
	Description: Review complaint as filed			
3/5/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.8	\$305.00	\$244.00
	Description: Travel to court to obtain summons and o	complaint		
3/12/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.6	\$305.00	\$183.00
	Description: File service of process documents to ma	ail to partie	S	
3/28/2012	Marc Rotenberg	0.1	\$734.00	\$73.40
	Description: File notice of appearance			
4/13/2012	Marc Rotenberg	0.1	\$734.00	\$73.40
	Description: Review minute order re extension			
5/1/2012	Marc Rotenberg	0.2	\$734.00	\$146.80
	Description: Review answer			
5/2/2012	Marc Rotenberg	0.1	\$734.00	\$73.40
	Description: Review scheduling conference order			
5/7/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.6	\$305.00	\$183.00
	Description: Review agency answer and court order	re: meet a	nd confer	
5/10/2012	Amie Stepanovich	1.8	\$305.00	\$549.00
	Description: Draft joint meet and confer statement ar	nd order		

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 4 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

Date	Attorney Name	Hours	Rate	Amoun
5/10/201	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.1	\$305.00	\$30.5
	Description: E-Mail opposing counsel re: mee	et and confer discu	ission	
5/15/201	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.1	\$734.00	\$73.4
	Description: E-Mail to opposing counsel			
5/16/201	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.4	\$734.00	\$293.6
	Description: Conference re: case status, mee opposing counsel (Other EPIC staff p			l with
5/16/201	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.4	\$305.00	\$122.0
	Description: Conference re: case status, mee opposing counsel (Other EPIC staff p			l with
5/16/201	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.3	\$305.00	\$91.5
	Description: Conference with M. Rotenberg to (Other EPIC staff present: M. Rotenberg)		opposing cou	insel
5/16/201	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.3	\$734.00	\$220.2
	Description: Conference with M. Rotenberg to (Other EPIC staff present: A. Stepano		opposing cou	insel
5/16/2012	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.1	\$305.00	\$30.5
	Description: E-Mail counsel to confirm details	of teleconference		
5/16/2012	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.3	\$734.00	\$220.2
	Description: Preparation for call with opposing	g counsel		
5/16/201	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.3	\$734.00	\$220.2
	Description: Preparation for call with opposing	g counsel		
5/16/201	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.1	\$734.00	\$73.4
	Description: Review minute order re scheduli	ng conference		
5/16/201	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.5	\$305.00	\$152.5
	Description: Tele-Conference with opposing on staff present: M. Rotenberg)	counsel re: meet a	nd confer (Ot	her EPIC

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 5 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

Date A	Attorney Name	Hours	Rate	Amount
5/16/2012	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.5	\$734.00	\$367.0
	Description: Tele-Conference with opposing co staff present: A. Stepanovich)	unsel re: meet a	nd confer (Ot	her EPIC
5/17/201	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.4	\$305.00	\$122.00
	Description: Draft motion for telephonic initial se	cheduling confer	ence	
5/17/2012	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.2	\$305.00	\$61.00
	Description: E-Mail opposing counsel re: sched	uling teleconfere	ence	
5/18/201	2 Ginger McCall	1.0	\$305.00	\$305.00
	Description: Draft and file motion for appearance	ce.		
5/18/201	2 Amie Stepanovich	1.0	\$305.00	\$305.00
	Description: Draft and file motion for telephonic	initial schedulin	g conference	
5/18/2012	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.3	\$734.00	\$220.20
	Description: Preparation for call with opposing of	counsel		
5/18/201	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.1	\$734.00	\$73.40
	Description: Review consent motion for order for conference	or a telephonic ir	nitial schedulir	ng
5/18/201	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.2	\$305.00	\$61.00
	Description: Review opposing counsel's propos	ed meet and co	nfer statemen	ıt
5/18/201	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.2	\$305.00	\$61.00
	Description: Tele-Conference with court re: tele	phonic conferen	ce schedule	
5/18/2012	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.5	\$734.00	\$367.00
	Description: Tele-Conference with opposing co present: G. McCall, A. Stepanovich)	unsel re: schedu	lling (Other E	PIC staff
5/18/201	2 Ginger McCall	0.5	\$305.00	\$152.50
	Description: Tele-Conference with opposing co present: M. Rotenberg, A. Stepanovich)	unsel re: schedu	lling (Other E	PIC staff

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 6 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

ate A	ttorney Name	Hours	Rate	Amount
5/18/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.5	\$305.00	\$152.5
	Description: Tele-Conference with opposing counsel present: M. Rotenberg, G. McCall)	re: schedu	uling (Other El	PIC staff
5/20/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.2	\$305.00	\$61.0
	Description: E-Mail to discuss case status and meet a EPIC staff present: M. Rotenberg, G. McCall)	and confe	r statement (C	other
5/20/2012	Marc Rotenberg	0.2	\$734.00	\$146.80
	Description: E-Mail to discuss case status and meet a EPIC staff present: A. Stepanovich, G. McCall		r statement (C	other
5/20/2012	Ginger McCall	0.2	\$305.00	\$61.00
	Description: E-Mail to discuss case status and meet a EPIC staff present: A. Stepanovich, M. Rotent		r statement (C	other
5/20/2012	Ginger McCall	0.5	\$305.00	\$152.50
	Description: Edit joint meet and confer statement			
5/20/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.3	\$305.00	\$91.50
	Description: Edit joint meet and confer statement			
5/21/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.2	\$305.00	\$61.00
	Description: E-Mail with M. Rotenberg and G. McCall	re: meet a	and confer	
5/21/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.1	\$305.00	\$30.50
	Description: E-Mail opposing counsel re: meet and co	onfer		
5/21/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.3	\$305.00	\$91.50
	Description: Edit joint meet and confer statement			
5/21/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.2	\$305.00	\$61.00
	Description: File joint meet and confer statement			
5/21/2012	Marc Rotenberg	0.1	\$734.00	\$73.40
	Description: Review minute order re scheduling confe	erence		

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 7 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

Date /	Attorney Name	Hours	Rate	Amount
5/21/201	2 Marc Rotenberg Description: Review meet and confer statement	0.1	\$734.00	\$73.4
5/21/201	5	0.2	\$305.00	\$61.00
	Description: Tele-Conference re: meet and confer sta M. Rotenberg)	tement (w	oth A. Stepano	ovich and
5/21/201	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.2	\$734.00	\$146.80
	Description: Tele-Conference re: meet and confer sta Stepanovich)	tement (w	ith G. McCall	and A.
5/21/201	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.2	\$734.00	\$146.80
	Description: Tele-Conference with opposing counsel staff present: A. Stepanovich)	e: meet a	nd confer (Otl	ner EPIC
5/21/201	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.2	\$305.00	\$61.00
	Description: Tele-Conference with opposing counsel i staff present: M. Rotenberg)	e: meet a	nd confer (Otl	ner EPIC
5/21/201	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.3	\$305.00	\$91.50
	Description: Tele-Conference with opposing counsel I	e: meet a	nd confer	
5/24/201	2 Ginger McCall	0.8	\$305.00	\$244.00
	Description: Conference to prepare for court schedulin staff present: M. Rotenberg, A. Stepanovich)	ng telecor	ference (Othe	er EPIC
5/24/201	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.8	\$734.00	\$587.20
	Description: Conference to prepare for court schedulin staff present: G. McCall, A. Stepanovich)	ng telecor	ference (Othe	er EPIC
5/24/201	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.8	\$305.00	\$244.00
	Description: Conference to prepare for court schedulin staff present: G. McCall, M. Rotenberg)	ng telecor	ference (Othe	er EPIC
5/24/201	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.1	\$734.00	\$73.40
	Description: Review scheduling order			
5/24/201	•	0.2	\$305.00	\$61.00
	Description: Tele-Conference re: scheduling with Judg counsel (Other EPIC staff present: G. McCall,			g

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 8 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

Date At	torney Name	Hours	Rate	Amoun
5/24/2012	Ginger McCall	0.2	\$305.00	\$61.0
	Description: Tele-Conference re: scheduling with Jud counsel (Other EPIC staff present: A. Stepano	lge Kessle ovich, M. F	r and opposin Rotenberg)	g
5/24/2012	Marc Rotenberg	0.2	\$734.00	\$146.8
	Description: Tele-Conference re: scheduling with Jud counsel (Other EPIC staff present: A. Stepano			g
7/24/2012	Ginger McCall	0.3	\$383.00	\$114.9
	Description: Conference to discuss agency request (Stepanovich, M. Rotenberg).	Other EPI	C staff present	t: A.
7/24/2012	Marc Rotenberg	0.3	\$753.00	\$225.9
	Description: Conference to discuss agency request (Stepanovich, G. McCall).	Other EPI	C staff present	t: A.
7/24/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.3	\$312.00	\$93.6
	Description: Conference to discuss agency request (MCCall)	with M. Ro	tenberg and C	B .
8/24/2012	Marc Rotenberg	0.3	\$753.00	\$225.9
	Description: Conference to discuss agency request for teleconference (Other EPIC staff present: A. S			
8/24/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.3	\$312.00	\$93.6
	Description: Conference to discuss agency request for teleconference (Other EPIC staff present: M.			
8/24/2012	Ginger McCall	0.3	\$383.00	\$114.9
	Description: Conference to discuss agency request for teleconference (Other EPIC staff present: M.			ich)
8/24/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.3	\$312.00	\$93.6
	Description: Conference to review discussion with op present: G. McCall, M. Rotenberg)	posing co	unsel (Other E	PIC staff
8/24/2012	Ginger McCall	0.3	\$383.00	\$114.9
	Description: Conference to review discussion with op present: A. Stepanovich, M. Rotenberg)	posing co	unsel (Other E	PIC staff
8/24/2012	Marc Rotenberg	0.3	\$753.00	\$225.9
	Description: Conference to review discussion with op present: A. Stepanovich, G. McCall)	posing co	unsel (Other E	PIC staff

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 9 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

ate A	ttorney Name	Hours	Rate	Amoun	
8/24/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.2	\$312.00	\$62.4	
	Description: Conference preparation for second sch (Other EPIC staff present: M. Rotenberg, G.		with opposing	g counsel	
8/24/2012	Marc Rotenberg	0.2	\$753.00	\$150.6	
	Description: Conference preparation for second sch (Other EPIC staff present: A. Stepanovich, G		with opposing	g counsel	
8/24/2012	Ginger McCall	0.2	\$383.00	\$76.6	
	Description: Conference preparation for second sch (Other EPIC staff present: A. Stepanovich, N			g counsel	
8/24/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.1	\$312.00	\$31.2	
	Description: Conference to discuss second call with staff present: M. Rotenberg, G. McCall)	opposing c	ounsel (Othe	r EPIC	
8/24/2012	Marc Rotenberg	0.1	\$753.00	\$75.3	
	Description: Conference to discuss second call with staff present: A. Stepanovich, G. McCall)	opposing c	ounsel (Othe	r EPIC	
3/24/2012	Ginger McCall	0.1	\$383.00	\$38.3	
	Description: Conference to discuss second call with opposing counsel (Other EPIC staff present: A. Stepanovich, M. Rotenberg)				
8/24/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.1	\$312.00	\$31.2	
	Description: E-Mail opposing counsel re: scheduling)			
8/24/2012	Marc Rotenberg	0.2	\$753.00	\$150.6	
	Description: Review DHS motion to stay				
3/24/2012	Marc Rotenberg	0.4	\$753.00	\$301.2	
	Description: Tele-Conference with opposing counse McCall, A. Stepanovich)	el (Other EP	IC staff prese	nt: G.	
8/24/2012	Ginger McCall	0.4	\$383.00	\$153.2	
	Description: Tele-Conference with opposing counse Rotenberg, A. Stepanovich)	el (Other EP	IC staff prese	nt: M.	
8/24/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.4	\$312.00	\$124.8	
	Description: Tele-Conference with opposing counse Rotenberg, G. McCall)	el (Other EP	IC staff prese	nt: M.	

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 10 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

Date	Attorney Name	Hours	Rate	Amount
8/24/201	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.2	\$312.00	\$62.40
	Description: Tele-Conference with opposing couns	el re: schedu	uling	
8/24/201	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.1	\$312.00	\$31.20
	Description: Tele-Conference with opposing couns present: M. Rotenberg, G. McCall)	el re: schedu	uling (Other El	PIC staff
8/24/201	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.1	\$753.00	\$75.30
	Description: Tele-Conference with opposing couns present: A. Stepanovich, G. McCall)	el re: schedu	uling (Other E	PIC staff
8/24/201	2 Ginger McCall	0.1	\$383.00	\$38.30
	Description: Tele-Conference with opposing couns present: A. Stepanovich, M. Rotenberg)	el re: schedu	uling (Other E	PIC staff
8/25/201	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.2	\$312.00	\$62.40
	Description: Review agency motion for stay			
8/26/201	2 Amie Stepanovich	1.9	\$312.00	\$592.80
	Description: Draft opposition to agency motion for s	stay		
8/26/201	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.1	\$312.00	\$31.20
	Description: E-Mail with M. Rotenberg re: agency r	notion for sta	ау	
8/26/201	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.3	\$312.00	\$93.60
	Description: Research motions for stay in FOIA cas	ses		
8/27/201	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.1	\$753.00	\$75.30
	Description: Review minute order re DHS motion for	or stay		
8/27/201	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.1	\$312.00	\$31.20
	Description: Review minute order re: motion for sta	ау		
8/30/201	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.1	\$312.00	\$31.20
	Description: E-Mail opposing counsel re: schedulin	ng		

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 11 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

ate At	orney Name	Hours	Rate	Amoun		
3/31/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.1	\$312.00	\$31.2		
	Description: E-Mail opposing counsel re: scheduling	and scope	of request			
3/31/2012	Amie Stepanovich	1.0	\$312.00	\$312.0		
	Description: Research Exemption 1 and background request	subject m	atter of EPIC	FOIA		
3/31/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.2	\$312.00	\$62.4		
	Description: Review agency proposal re: scope of FC	DIA reques	st			
9/4/2012	Marc Rotenberg	0.8	\$753.00	\$602.4		
	Description: Conference re: agency questions about EPIC staff present: G. McCall, A. Stepanovic		OIA request (O	ther		
9/4/2012	Ginger McCall	0.8	\$383.00	\$306.4		
	Description: Conference re: agency questions about EPIC's FOIA request (Other EPIC staff present: M. Rotenberg, A. Stepanovich)					
9/4/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.8	\$312.00	\$249.		
	Description: Conference re: agency questions about EPIC's FOIA request (Other EPIC staff present: M. Rotenberg, G. McCall)					
9/4/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.2	\$312.00	\$62.4		
	Description: Conference re: opposing counsel extension request (Other EPIC staff present: M. Rotenberg, G. McCall)					
9/4/2012	Marc Rotenberg	0.2	\$753.00	\$150.0		
	Description: Conference re: opposing counsel extens present: A. Stepanovich, G. McCall)	sion reque	st (Other EPIC	C staff		
9/4/2012	Ginger McCall	0.2	\$383.00	\$76.6		
	Description: Conference re: opposing counsel extens present: A. Stepanovich, M. Rotenberg)	sion reque	st (Other EPIC	C staff		
9/4/2012	Amie Stepanovich	1.4	\$312.00	\$436.8		
	Description: Draft opposition to motion for stay					
9/4/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.1	\$312.00	\$31.2		
	Description: E-Mail opposing counsel re: scheduling					

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 12 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

ate A	ttorney Name	Hours	Rate	Amoun		
9/4/2012	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.1	\$312.00	\$31.2		
	Description: E-Mail with M. Rotenberg re: opposition to	o motion	for stay			
9/4/2012	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.1	\$753.00	\$75.3		
	Description: E-Mail with A. Stepanovich re: DHS motion	on				
9/4/2012	2 Marc Rotenberg	1.3	\$753.00	\$978.9		
	Description: Edit opposition to motion for stay					
9/4/2012	2 Amie Stepanovich	1.2	\$312.00	\$374.4		
	Description: Research agency motion for stay in FOIA	cases				
9/5/2012	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.1	\$312.00	\$31.2		
	Description: Conference re: opposition to motion for so M. Rotenberg)	Description: Conference re: opposition to motion for stay (Other EPIC staff present: M. Rotenberg)				
9/5/2012	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.1	\$753.00	\$75.3		
	Description: Conference re: opposition to motion for s Stepanovich)	tay (Othe	r EPIC staff pi	resent: A.		
9/5/2012	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.7	\$312.00	\$218.4		
	Description: Draft opposition to motion for stay					
9/5/2012	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.8	\$753.00	\$602.4		
	Description: Edit opposition to motion for stay					
9/5/2012	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.8	\$753.00	\$602.4		
	Description: Edit opposition to motion for stay					
9/5/2012	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.2	\$312.00	\$62.4		
	Description: File opposition to motion for stay					
9/5/2012	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.2	\$753.00	\$150.6		
	Description: Review DHS motion to continue stay					

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 13 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

Date	Attorney Name	Hours	Rate	Amoun
9/5/201	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.1	\$753.00	\$75.3
	Description: Review review court order			
9/5/201	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.1	\$312.00	\$31.2
	Description: Review court order re: motion for stay	/		
9/11/201	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.2	\$312.00	\$62.4
	Description: Conference to discuss agency reques present: M. Rotenberg)	st for extensio	n (Other EPI	C staff
9/11/201	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.2	\$753.00	\$150.6
	Description: Conference to discuss agency reques present: A. Stepanovich)	st for extensio	n (Other EPI	C staff
9/11/201	2 Ginger McCall	0.4	\$383.00	\$153.2
	Description: Conference to discuss agency reques present: A. Stepanovich, M. Rotenberg)	st for extensio	n (Other EPI	C staff
9/11/201	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.4	\$312.00	\$124.8
	Description: Conference to discuss agency reques present: G. McCall, M. Rotenberg)	st for extensio	n (Other EPI	C staff
9/11/201	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.4	\$753.00	\$301.2
	Description: Conference to discuss agency reques present: G. McCall, A. Stepanovich)	st for extensio	n (Other EPI	C staff
9/11/201	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.3	\$753.00	\$225.9
	Description: Draft and edit email re: DHS motion			
9/14/201	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.2	\$312.00	\$62.4
	Description: Conference to discuss next steps in c McCall, M. Rotenberg)	ase (Other E	PIC staff pres	sent: G.
9/14/201	2 Ginger McCall	0.2	\$383.00	\$76.6
	Description: Conference to discuss next steps in c Stepanovich, M. Rotenberg)	ase (Other E	PIC staff pres	sent: A.
9/14/201	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.2	\$753.00	\$150.6
	Description: Conference to discuss next steps in c Stepanovich, G. McCall)	case (Other E	PIC staff pres	sent: A.

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 14 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

ate At	torney Name	Hours	Rate	Amoun	
9/14/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.4	\$312.00	\$124.8	
	Description: Conference to discuss opposing on EPIC staff present: G. McCall, M. Rote		re: schedulii	ng (Other	
9/14/2012	Ginger McCall	0.4	\$383.00	\$153.2	
	Description: Conference to discuss opposing on EPIC staff present: A. Stepanovich, M.		re: schedulir	ng (Other	
9/14/2012	Marc Rotenberg	0.4	\$753.00	\$301.2	
	Description: Conference to discuss opposing of EPIC staff present: A. Stepanovich, G.		re: schedulir	ng (Other	
9/14/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.8	\$312.00	\$249.6	
	Description: Research Open America Stay and	d Scheduling Exte	ensions		
9/14/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.4	\$312.00	\$124.8	
	Description: Review agency motion for modification of the scheduling order				
9/14/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.2	\$312.00	\$62.4	
	Description: Tele-Conference with opposing co	ounsel re: schedu	ıling		
9/15/2012	Amie Stepanovich	1.4	\$312.00	\$436.8	
	Description: Draft opposition to motion to mod	ify the scheduling	ı order		
9/15/2012	Amie Stepanovich	2.2	\$312.00	\$686.4	
	Description: Research scheduling extension a	nd possible cross	-motion		
9/16/2012	Amie Stepanovich	3.6	\$312.00	\$1,123.2	
	Description: Draft opposition to motion to mod	ify the scheduling	order		
9/16/2012	Amie Stepanovich	2.0	\$312.00	\$624.0	
	Description: Research requests for extension a	and motion to sho	ow cause		
9/17/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.1	\$312.00	\$31.2	
	Description: Conference to discuss declaration	n (Other EPIC sta	ff present: G	. McCall)	

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 15 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

ate At	torney Name	Hours	Rate	Amoun		
9/17/2012	Ginger McCall	0.1	\$383.00	\$38.3		
	Description: Conference to discuss declarat Stepanovich)	ion (Other EPIC sta	aff present: A.			
9/17/2012	Amie Stepanovich	1.0	\$312.00	\$312.0		
	Description: Conference multiple to discuss present: D. Jacobs, M. Rotenberg)	motion to show ca	use (Other EP	IC staff		
9/17/2012	David Jacobs	1.0	\$170.00	\$170.0		
	Description: Conference multiple to discuss present: A. Stepanovich, M. Rotenbe		use (Other EP	IC staff		
9/17/2012	Marc Rotenberg	1.0	\$753.00	\$753.0		
	Description: Conference multiple to discuss present: A. Stepanovich, D. Jacobs)	motion to show ca	use (Other EP	IC staff		
9/17/2012	Ginger McCall	0.9	\$383.00	\$344.7		
	Description: Draft declaration and affidavit					
9/17/2012	Amie Stepanovich	3.2	\$312.00	\$998.4		
	Description: Draft opposition to motion to modify the scheduling order					
9/17/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.4	\$312.00	\$124.8		
	Description: Draft declaration					
9/17/2012	Marc Rotenberg	0.2	\$753.00	\$150.6		
	Description: E-Mail to discuss motion to sho Stepanovich)	w cause (Other EP	PIC staff prese	nt: A.		
9/17/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.2	\$312.00	\$62.4		
	Description: E-Mail to discuss motion to sho Rotenberg)	w cause (Other EF	PIC staff prese	nt: M.		
9/17/2012	Marc Rotenberg	0.2	\$753.00	\$150.6		
	Description: E-Mail with G. McCall, A. Stepa motion to show cause	novich, and D. Jac	obs re: oppos	ition and		
9/17/2012	David Jacobs	1.2	\$170.00	\$204.0		
	Description: Edit show cause motion					

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 16 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

Date At	torney Name	Hours	Rate	Amount
9/17/2012	David Jacobs	8.5	\$170.00	\$1,445.0
	Description: Research and draft show cause motion			
9/17/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.8	\$312.00	\$249.60
	Description: Research scheduling extension and motion	on to sho	w cause	
9/18/2012	Ginger McCall	0.8	\$383.00	\$306.40
	Description: Conference to discuss opposition and mo A. Stepanovich, M. Rotenberg, D. Jacobs).	otion (Oth	er EPIC staff	present:
9/18/2012	Marc Rotenberg	0.4	\$753.00	\$301.20
	Description: Conference to discuss cross motion and o present: A. Stepanovich, D. Jacobs)	oppositio	n (other EPIC	Staff
9/18/2012	Marc Rotenberg	0.8	\$753.00	\$602.40
	Description: Conference to discuss opposition and mo A. Stepanovich, G. McCall, D. Jacobs)	otion (Oth	er EPIC staff	present:
9/18/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.8	\$312.00	\$249.6
	Description: Conference to discuss motion to modify s present: M. Rotenberg, G. McCall, D. Jacobs)	cheduling	g order (EPIC	staff
9/18/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.2	\$312.00	\$62.40
	Description: Conference to discuss motion to modify s present: M. Rotenberg) (Other EPIC staff present			staff
9/18/2012	Marc Rotenberg	0.2	\$753.00	\$150.60
	Description: Conference to discuss motion to modify s present: M. Rotenberg) (Other EPIC staff present			Staff
9/18/2012	Amie Stepanovich	1.1	\$312.00	\$343.20
	Description: Draft opposition to motion to modify the s	cheduling) order	
9/18/2012	Marc Rotenberg	0.2	\$753.00	\$150.60
	Description: E-Mail regarding opposing counsel's requ	lest for ex	tension.	
9/18/2012	David Jacobs	0.3	\$170.00	\$51.00
	Description: Edit show cause motion			

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 17 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

Date A	Attorney Name	Hours	Rate	Amount
9/18/2012	2 David Jacobs	0.3	\$170.00	\$51.00
	Description: Edit show cause motion			
9/18/2012	2 David Jacobs	0.4	\$170.00	\$68.00
	Description: Research show cause motion			
9/18/2012	2 David Jacobs	0.5	\$170.00	\$85.00
	Description: Research for opposition to motion to mod	ify		
9/18/201	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.5	\$753.00	\$376.50
	Description: Research scheduling order			
9/18/2012	2 David Jacobs	0.2	\$170.00	\$34.00
	Description: Review opposition to motion to modify			
9/18/201	2 Marc Rotenberg	1.5	\$753.00	\$1,129.50
	Description: Review show cause motion			
9/19/201	2 Ginger McCall	0.4	\$383.00	\$153.20
	Description: Conference to discuss DHS motion (Othe Stepanovich, M. Rotenberg)	r EPIC st	aff present: A	λ.
9/19/201	5	0.9	\$383.00	\$344.70
	Description: Conference to discuss declaration (EPIC s G. McCall, M. Rotenberg, D. Jacobs) (Other EF	staff pres PIC staff	sent: A. Stepa present: M. F	anovich, Rotenberg)
9/19/201	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.9	\$753.00	\$677.70
	Description: Conference to discuss declaration (EPIC s G. McCall, M. Rotenberg, D. Jacobs) (Other EF			
9/19/201	2 David Jacobs	0.4	\$170.00	\$68.00
	Description: Conference re: motion to show cause			
9/19/201	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.8	\$312.00	\$249.60
	Description: Conference to discuss opposition brief (El Rotenberg, A. Stepanovich, G. McCall, D. Jaco	PIC staff bbs) (Oth	present: M. er EPIC staff	present:

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 18 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

ate At	torney Name	Hours	Rate	Amoun	
9/19/2012	Marc Rotenberg	0.8	\$753.00	\$602.4	
	Description: Conference to discuss opposition brief (E Rotenberg, A. Stepanovich, G. McCall, D. Jac	EPIC staff obs) (Othe	present: M. er EPIC staff	present:	
9/19/2012	Amie Stepanovich	1.0	\$312.00	\$312.0	
	Description: Draft declaration				
9/19/2012	Amie Stepanovich	1.2	\$312.00	\$374.4	
	Description: Draft declaration				
9/19/2012	Marc Rotenberg	0.1	\$753.00	\$75.3	
	Description: E-Mail regarding opposing counsel's req	uest for ex	tension.		
9/19/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.1	\$312.00	\$31.2	
	Description: E-Mail EPIC attorneys re: declaration				
9/19/2012	David Jacobs	0.3	\$170.00	\$51.0	
	Description: File cross motion and opposition				
9/19/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.5	\$312.00	\$156.0	
	Description: File opposition to motion to modify scheor show cause	luling orde	er and cross r	notion to	
9/19/2012	David Jacobs	0.5	\$170.00	\$85.0	
	Description: Research re: show cause motion				
9/19/2012	Marc Rotenberg	0.1	\$753.00	\$75.3	
	Description: Review opposition to motion to modify th	e schedul	ing order as f	iled	
9/19/2012	Marc Rotenberg	0.1	\$753.00	\$75.3	
	Description: Review cross motion for order to show ca	ause			
9/19/2012	Marc Rotenberg	2.4	\$753.00	\$1,807.2	
	Description: Review and edit motion to modify schedule and supporting affidavit				

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 19 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

Date	Attorney Name	Hours	Rate	Amoun
9/24/20 ⁻	I	0.1	\$312.00	\$31.2
	Description: E-Mail opposing counsel			
9/24/20	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.1	\$312.00	\$31.2
	Description: Tele-Conference with oppos	ing counsel		
9/25/20	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.1	\$312.00	\$31.2
	Description: Conference to discuss agend Stepanovich, G. McCall, M. Roter			
9/25/20 ⁻	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.1	\$753.00	\$75.3
	Description: Conference to discuss agend Stepanovich, G. McCall, M. Roter			
9/25/20	2 Ginger McCall	0.1	\$383.00	\$38.3
	Description: Conference to discuss agen Stepanovich, G. McCall, M. Roter			
9/25/20	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.1	\$312.00	\$31.2
	Description: E-Mail opposing counsel			
)9/25/20 ⁻	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.2	\$753.00	\$150.6
	Description: Review consent motion for e	extension		
9/25/20	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.1	\$312.00	\$31.2
	Description: Review agency consent mot	ion for extension		
)9/26/20 ⁻	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.1	\$753.00	\$75.3
	Description: Review minute order re exte	nsion		
9/26/20	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.1	\$312.00	\$31.2
	Description: Review review minute order			
)9/28/20 ⁻	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.2	\$753.00	\$150.6
	Description: Review DHS reply re opposi	tion to extension		

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 20 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

Date Att	torney Name	Hours	Rate	Amount		
9/28/2012	Marc Rotenberg	0.2	\$753.00	\$150.6		
	Description: Review DHS opposition to cross motion to	o show ca	ause			
9/28/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.2	\$312.00	\$62.4		
	Description: Review DHS reply to EPIC's opposition					
9/30/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.1	\$312.00	\$31.20		
	Description: E-Mail EPIC attorneys re: agency reply					
10/1/2012	David Jacobs	0.3	\$170.00	\$51.00		
	Description: Conference to discuss reply (Other EPIC M. Rotenberg, G. McCall)	staff pres	ent: A. Stepa	novich,		
10/1/2012	Marc Rotenberg	0.3	\$753.00	\$225.90		
	Description: Conference to discuss reply (EPIC staff p Rotenberg, G. McCall, D. Jacobs) (Other EPIC					
10/1/2012	Ginger McCall	0.3	\$383.00	\$114.90		
	Description: Conference to discuss reply (EPIC staff present: A. Stepanovich, M. Rotenberg, G. McCall, D. Jacobs) (Other EPIC staff present: M. Rotenberg)					
10/1/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.3	\$312.00	\$93.60		
	Description: Conference re: reply in support of motion to show cause (Other EPIC staff present: D. Jacobs)					
10/1/2012	David Jacobs	0.3	\$170.00	\$51.00		
	Description: Conference re: reply in support of motion staff present: A. Stepanovich)	to show o	cause (Other	EPIC		
10/1/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.3	\$312.00	\$93.60		
	Description: Conference to discuss reply (EPIC staff p Rotenberg, G. McCall, D. Jacobs)	resent: A	. Stepanovich	, M.		
10/1/2012	David Jacobs	2.4	\$170.00	\$408.00		
	Description: Draft reply brief					
10/1/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.5	\$312.00	\$156.00		
	Description: Draft EPIC reply					

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 21 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

Date Att	orney Name	Hours	Rate	Amount	
10/1/2012	David Jacobs	3.5	\$170.00	\$595.00	
	Description: Research reply				
10/1/2012	Marc Rotenberg	0.5	\$753.00	\$376.50	
	Description: Research reply brief				
10/1/2012	David Jacobs	0.4	\$170.00	\$68.00	
	Description: Review reply and opposition to cross m	notion			
10/2/2012	David Jacobs	5.5	\$170.00	\$935.00	
	Description: Draft reply brief				
10/3/2012	David Jacobs	4.5	\$170.00	\$765.00	
	Description: Draft reply brief				
10/4/2012	Marc Rotenberg	0.1	\$753.00	\$75.30	
	Description: Conference with A. Stepanovich and D. Jacobs to discuss reply brief				
10/4/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.1	\$312.00	\$31.20	
	Description: Conference to discuss reply (EPIC staff present: A. Stepanovich, M. Rotenberg, D. Jacobs) (Other EPIC staff present: D. Jacobs)				
10/4/2012	David Jacobs	0.1	\$170.00	\$17.00	
	Description: Conference to discuss reply (EPIC state Rotenberg, D. Jacobs) (Other EPIC staff pre-			М.	
10/4/2012	David Jacobs	0.2	\$170.00	\$34.00	
	Description: Draft reply brief				
10/4/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.2	\$312.00	\$62.40	
	Description: Draft reply				
10/4/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.1	\$312.00	\$31.20	
	Description: E-Mail to EPIC attorneys re: reply				

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 22 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

Date At	torney Name	Hours	Rate	Amount
10/4/2012	David Jacobs Description: Edit reply brief	0.3	\$170.00	\$51.00
10/4/2012	David Jacobs Description: File reply brief	0.1	\$170.00	\$17.00
10/5/2012	Marc Rotenberg Description: E-Mail regarding opposing counsel's requ	0.2 Jest for ex	\$753.00 xtension.	\$150.60
10/5/2012	Amie Stepanovich Description: E-Mail to EPIC attorneys re: reply	0.1	\$312.00	\$31.20
10/05/2012	Marc Rotenberg Description: Review reply in support of cross motion fe	0.3 or order to	\$753.00 o show cause	\$225.90 as filed
10/9/2012	Amie Stepanovich Description: E-Mail EPIC attorneys re: status conferer	0.1 nce	\$312.00	\$31.20
10/9/2012	Amie Stepanovich Description: E-Mail opposing counsel	0.2	\$312.00	\$62.40
10/9/2012	Amie Stepanovich Description: E-Mail EPIC attorneys re: status conferer	0.2 nce	\$312.00	\$62.40
10/09/2012	Marc Rotenberg Description: Review minute order status conference	0.1	\$753.00	\$75.30
10/9/2012	Amie Stepanovich Description: Review Minute Order	0.1	\$312.00	\$31.20
10/9/2012	Amie Stepanovich Description: Tele-Conference with opposing counsel	0.1	\$312.00	\$31.20

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 23 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

Date A	ttorney Name	Hours	Rate	Amoun	
10/10/2012	Marc Rotenberg	0.1	\$753.00	\$75.3	
	Description: Review consent motion to continue sta	atus conferer	nce as filed		
10/10/2012	Marc Rotenberg	0.1	\$753.00	\$75.30	
	Description: Review minute order re status confere	nce			
10/10/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.1	\$312.00	\$31.2	
	Description: Review Minute Order				
10/12/2012	David Jacobs	0.5	\$312.00	\$156.0	
	Description: Conference to prepare for status heari Rotenberg, G. McCall, A. Stepanovich)	ng (Other El	PIC staff pres	sent: M.	
10/12/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.5	\$312.00	\$156.0	
	Description: Conference to discuss status conferen Rotenberg, A. Stepanovich, D. Jacobs, G. M				
10/12/2012		0.5	\$312.00	\$156.0	
	Description: Conference to discuss status conferen Rotenberg, A. Stepanovich, D. Jacobs, G. M				
10/12/2012	Ginger McCall	0.5	\$383.00	\$191.5	
	Description: Conference to discuss status conferen Rotenberg, A. Stepanovich, D. Jacobs, G. M				
10/12/2012	Marc Rotenberg	0.5	\$753.00	\$376.5	
	Description: Conference to discuss status conferen Rotenberg, A. Stepanovich, D. Jacobs, G. M				
10/14/2012	Marc Rotenberg	3.8	\$753.00	\$2,861.4	
	Description: Preparation for status conference				
10/15/2012	David Jacobs	0.5	\$312.00	\$156.0	
	Description: Conference to prepare for status hearing (Other EPIC staff present: M. Rotenberg, G. McCall, A. Stepanovich)				
10/15/2012	David Jacobs	0.8	\$312.00	\$249.6	
	Description: Conference court status hearing				

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 24 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

Date A	ttorney Name	Hours	Rate	Amount
10/15/2012	Marc Rotenberg Description: Preparation , travel, and participation ir	2.4 n status con	\$753.00 ference	\$1,807.20
10/15/2012	2 David Jacobs Description: Research for status hearing	0.3	\$312.00	\$93.60
10/15/2012	Amie Stepanovich Description: Review minute order	0.1	\$312.00	\$31.20
10/15/2012	Marc Rotenberg Description: Tele-Conference with Judge Kessler ar	0.1 nd opposing	\$753.00 counsel	\$75.30
10/16/2012	David Jacobs Description: Conference with A. Stepanovich and A	0.5 . Butler.	\$312.00	\$156.00
10/16/2012	Marc Rotenberg Description: Review scheduling order	0.1	\$753.00	\$75.30
10/16/2012	Amie Stepanovich Description: Review modified scheduling order	0.1	\$312.00	\$31.20
10/20/2012	Amie Stepanovich Description: Research protective orders	1.0	\$312.00	\$312.00
10/21/2012	David Jacobs Description: E-Mail A. Stepanovich regarding clawb	0.2 ack agreem	\$312.00 ent	\$62.40
10/21/2012	David Jacobs Description: Research clawback agreement	4.0	\$312.00	\$1,248.00
10/24/2012	Amie Stepanovich Description: Conference to discuss clawback agree Rotenberg, A. Stepanovich, G. McCall, D. Ja	0.2 ment (EPIC acobs) (Oth	\$312.00 staff presen er EPIC staff	\$62.40 t: M. present:

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 25 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

Date Att	orney Name	Hours	Rate	Amoun		
10/24/2012	David Jacobs	0.2	\$312.00	\$62.4		
	Description: Conference to discuss clawback agree Rotenberg, A. Stepanovich, G. McCall, D.	eement (EPIC Jacobs) (Oth	staff present er EPIC staff	:: M. present:		
0/24/2012	Ginger McCall	0.2	\$383.00	\$76.6		
	Description: Conference to discuss clawback agr Rotenberg, A. Stepanovich, G. McCall, D.					
0/24/2012	Marc Rotenberg	0.2	\$753.00	\$150.6		
	Description: Conference to discuss clawback agr Rotenberg, A. Stepanovich, G. McCall, D.	eement (EPIC Jacobs) (Oth	staff present er EPIC staff	:: M. present:		
10/24/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.1	\$312.00	\$31.2		
	Description: E-Mail EPIC attorneys re: motion for	reconsideratio	on			
10/30/2012	Amie Stepanovich	1.7	\$312.00	\$530.4		
	Description: Draft motion for reconsideration					
10/30/2012	David Jacobs	0.1	\$312.00	\$31.2		
	Description: E-Mail G. McCall and A. Stepanovich regarding motion to reconsider					
10/30/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.1	\$312.00	\$31.20		
	Description: E-Mail EPIC attorneys re: motion for reconsideration					
10/30/2012	David Jacobs	3.5	\$312.00	\$1,092.0		
	Description: Research and draft memo regarding	motion to rec	onsider			
10/30/2012	Marc Rotenberg	1.5	\$753.00	\$1,129.5		
	Description: Research protective order.					
10/31/2012	Amie Stepanovich	1.4	\$312.00	\$436.8		
	Description: Draft motion for reconsideration					
10/31/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.1	\$312.00	\$31.2		
	Description: E-Mail EPIC attorneys re: motion for	reconsideration	on			

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 26 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

Date	Attorney Name	Hours	Rate	Amount			
11/1/201	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.3	\$753.00	\$225.9			
	Description: E-Mail to opposing counsel regarding	Description: E-Mail to opposing counsel regarding motion for reconsideration.					
11/1/201	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.1	\$312.00	\$31.2			
	Description: E-Mail opposing counsel						
11/2/2012	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.8	\$312.00	\$249.6			
	Description: Draft motion for reconsideration						
11/2/2012	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.2	\$753.00	\$150.6			
	Description: E-Mail to opposing counsel						
11/2/201	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.1	\$753.00	\$75.3			
	Description: E-Mail to opposing counsel regarding motion for reconsideration.						
11/2/201	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.3	\$312.00	\$93.6			
	Description: E-Mail opposing counsel						
11/2/201	2 Amie Stepanovich	1.2	\$312.00	\$374.4			
	Description: Research motion for reconsideration						
11/2/201	2 Ginger McCall	1.1	\$383.00	\$421.3			
	Description: Review draft motion for reconsiderat	ion.					
11/5/201	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.2	\$312.00	\$62.4			
	Description: Conference to discuss motion for red Rotenberg, G. McCall, A. Stepanovich) (C						
11/5/201	2 Ginger McCall	0.2	\$383.00	\$76.6			
	Description: Conference to discuss motion for rec Rotenberg, G. McCall, A. Stepanovich) (C	consideration (Other EPIC sta	EPIC staff pi ff present: A.	esent: M.			
11/5/201	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.2	\$753.00	\$150.6			
	Description: Conference to discuss motion for rec Rotenberg, G. McCall, A. Stepanovich) (C						

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 27 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

Date	Attorney Name	Hours	Rate	Amount
11/5/201	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.1	\$312.00	\$31.20
	Description: E-Mail opposing counsel			
11/5/201	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.3	\$753.00	\$225.90
	Description: Tele-Conference with opposing counsel (McCall, A. Stepanovich)	Other EP	IC staff prese	nt: G.
11/6/201	5	0.2	\$753.00	\$150.60
	Description: E-Mail to opposing counsel			
11/7/201	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.2	\$312.00	\$62.40
	Description: File motion for reconsideration			
11/07/201	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.5	\$753.00	\$376.50
	Description: Review motion for reconsideration as filed	l		
11/15/201	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.2	\$753.00	\$150.60
	Description: Review DHS status report			
11/15/201	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.2	\$312.00	\$62.40
	Description: Review agency status report			
11/21/201	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.1	\$753.00	\$75.30
	Description: E-Mail regarding opposing counsel's requ	est for ex	tension.	
11/21/201	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.1	\$312.00	\$31.20
	Description: E-Mail opposing counsel			
11/21/201	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.3	\$312.00	\$93.60
	Description: E-Mail EPIC attorneys re: agency motion			
11/21/201	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.2	\$753.00	\$150.60
	Description: Review DHS motion for extension			

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 28 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

Date A	ttorney Name	Hours	Rate	Amoun
11/21/2012	Marc Rotenberg	0.1	\$753.00	\$75.3
	Description: Review opposition to extension as filed			
11/21/2012	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.1	\$312.00	\$31.2
	Description: Review agency motion for extension			
11/21/2012	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.1	\$312.00	\$31.20
	Description: Review EPIC opposition			
11/26/2012	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.2	\$312.00	\$62.40
	Description: File cross motion			
11/26/2012	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.1	\$753.00	\$75.30
	Description: Review cross motion for order granting p reconsideration as unopposed as filed	laintiff's m	otion for	
11/26/2012	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.1	\$753.00	\$75.30
	Description: Review minute order re motion			
11/26/2012	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.2	\$312.00	\$62.40
	Description: Review court order			
11/29/2012	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.2	\$312.00	\$62.40
	Description: Draft reply			
11/30/2012	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.1	\$312.00	\$31.20
	Description: Draft reply			
11/30/2012	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.2	\$753.00	\$150.60
	Description: Review DHS response to motion for reco	nsideratio	n	
11/30/2012	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.2	\$753.00	\$150.60
	Description: Review DHS cross motion to modify sche	edule		

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 29 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

Date A	ttorney Name	Hours	Rate	Amount
11/30/2012	Amie Stepanovich Description: Review agency opposition	0.3	\$312.00	\$93.60
12/1/2012	Amie Stepanovich Description: Draft motion for reconsideration	4.1	\$312.00	\$1,279.20
12/1/2012	Amie Stepanovich Description: Draft motion for reconsideration	2.1	\$312.00	\$655.20
12/1/2012	Amie Stepanovich Description: E-Mail EPIC attorneys re: EPIC motion	0.1	\$312.00	\$31.20
12/1/2012	Amie Stepanovich Description: E-Mail EPIC attorneys re: motion for reco	0.2 nsideratio	\$312.00 on	\$62.40
12/1/2012	Amie Stepanovich Description: E-Mail EPIC attorneys re: EPIC motion	0.2	\$312.00	\$62.40
12/3/2012	Amie Stepanovich Description: Draft reply to motion for reconsideration	0.4	\$312.00	\$124.80
12/3/2012	Amie Stepanovich Description: E-Mail EPIC attorneys re: motion for reco	0.2 nsideratio	\$312.00 on	\$62.40
12/3/2012	Ginger McCall Description: Review reply motion for reconsideration	2.5	\$383.00	\$957.50
12/3/2012	Marc Rotenberg Description: Review opposition and reply	0.5	\$753.00	\$376.50
12/4/2012	Ginger McCall Description: Review reply motion for reconsideration	1.1	\$383.00	\$421.30

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 30 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

Date A	ttorney Name	Hours	Rate	Amount		
12/5/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.1	\$312.00	\$31.2		
	Description: E-Mail EPIC attorneys re: motion	for reconsideration	n			
12/6/2012	Marc Rotenberg	1.3	\$753.00	\$978.9		
	Description: Research motion for reconsidera	tion				
12/7/2012	Ginger McCall	0.3	\$383.00	\$114.90		
	Description: Conference to discuss reconsideration/appeal strategy (other EPIC staff present: A. Stepanovich, M. Rotenberg, J. Horwitz)					
12/7/2012	Marc Rotenberg	0.3	\$753.00	\$225.90		
	Description: Conference to discuss reconside present: A. Stepanovich, G. McCall, J.		tegy (other EF	PIC staff		
12/7/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.3	\$312.00	\$93.60		
	Description: Conference to discuss motion for Rotenberg, G. McCall, A. Stepanovich					
12/7/2012	Ginger McCall	0.3	\$383.00	\$114.9		
	Description: Conference to discuss motion for reconsideration (EPIC staff present: M. Rotenberg, G. McCall, A. Stepanovich, J. Horwitz) (Other EPIC staff present:					
12/7/2012	Ginger McCall	0.1	\$383.00	\$38.3		
	Description: File reply motion for reconsiderat	ion				
12/7/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.3	\$312.00	\$93.60		
	Description: File reply to motion for reconside	ration				
12/7/2012	Amie Stepanovich	0.8	\$312.00	\$249.60		
	Description: Research reply to motion for reco	onsideration				
12/7/2012	Ginger McCall	1.5	\$383.00	\$574.50		
	Description: Review reply motion for reconsid	eration				
2/07/2012	Marc Rotenberg	0.1	\$753.00	\$75.30		
	Description: Review reply in support of motion	n for reconsideration	on as filed			

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 31 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

Date	Attorney Name	Hours	Rate	Amount		
12/07/201	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.1	\$753.00	\$75.30		
	Description: Review opposition to DHS motio	n to modify schec	lule as filed			
12/7/201	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.2	\$312.00	\$62.40		
	Description: Tele-Conference with clerk of the	e court				
12/13/201	2 Julia Horwitz	4.0	\$312.00	\$1,248.00		
	Description: Draft memo re: appellate review	of court order				
12/13/201	2 Julia Horwitz	4.0	\$312.00	\$1,248.00		
	Description: Research adequacy of DHS's Exemption 7(D) claims in supplemental Vaughn and Declaration for memo re: appellate review of court order					
12/14/201	2 Julia Horwitz	4.0	\$312.00	\$1,248.00		
	Description: Draft memo re: appellate review	of court order				
12/14/201	2 Julia Horwitz	4.0	\$312.00	\$1,248.00		
	Description: Research sections 1291 and 129 order	92 for memo re: a	ppellate revie	w of court		
12/17/201		7.0	\$312.00	\$2,184.00		
	Description: Research and draft memo re: ap	pellate review of	court order			
12/17/201	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.2	\$753.00	\$150.60		
	Description: Review DHS status report					
12/18/201	2 Julia Horwitz	3.5	\$312.00	\$1,092.00		
	Description: Draft memo re: appellate review	of court order				
12/19/201	2 Julia Horwitz	0.8	\$312.00	\$249.60		
	Description: Conference with G. McCall, M. R re: appellate review of court order	otenberg, and A.	Stepanovich	on memo		
12/19/201	2 Ginger McCall	0.8	\$383.00	\$306.40		
	Description: Conference with J. Horwitz, M. R re: appellate review of court order	totenberg, and A.	Stepanovich	on memo		

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 32 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

Date A	Attorney Name	Hours	Rate	Amoun
12/19/2012	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.8	\$753.00	\$602.4
	Description: Conference with J. Horwitz, G. I appellate review of court order	McCall, and A. Step	panovich on r	nemo re:
12/19/2012	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.8	\$753.00	\$602.4
	Description: Conference with G. McCall, M. Stepanovich on memo re: appellate r			C staff
12/19/2012	2 Ginger McCall	0.8	\$383.00	\$306.4
	Description: Conference with G. McCall, M. Stepanovich on memo re: appellate r			C staff
12/19/2012	2 Julia Horwitz	3.5	\$312.00	\$1,092.0
	Description: Edit memo re: appellate review	of court order		
12/19/2012	2 Julia Horwitz	3.0	\$312.00	\$936.0
	on 1292 for n	nemo re:		
12/20/2012	2 Amie Stepanovich	0.3	\$312.00	\$93.6
	Description: Conference with J. Horwitz, G. I (Other EPIC staff present: G. McCall		erg, A. Stepar	novich
12/20/2012	2 Ginger McCall	0.3	\$383.00	\$114.9
	Description: Conference with J. Horwitz, G. I (Other EPIC staff present: A. Stepan			novich
12/20/2012	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.3	\$753.00	\$225.9
	Description: Conference with J. Horwitz, G. I (Other EPIC staff present: A. Stepan		erg, A. Stepar	novich
12/20/2012	2 Ginger McCall	0.3	\$383.00	\$114.9
	Description: Conference with G. McCall, M. Stepanovich on memo re: appellate r			
12/20/2012	2 Marc Rotenberg	0.3	\$753.00	\$225.9
	Description: Conference with G. McCall, M. Stepanovich on memo re: appellate r			
12/20/2012	2 Julia Horwitz	2.0	\$312.00	\$624.0
	Description: Research interlocutory appeals	in DC Circuit		

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 33 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

ate At	torney Name	Hours	Rate	Amount
2/21/2012	Julia Horwitz Description: Draft timeline addition to memo	1.0	\$312.00	\$312.0
2/26/2012	Ginger McCall Description: Review docket update re: court hearing	0.1	\$383.00	\$38.3
2/26/2012	Marc Rotenberg Description: Review minute order re motions hearing	0.1	\$753.00	\$75.3
1/2/2013	Julia Horwitz Description: Preparation for court appearance	3.0	\$312.00	\$936.00
1/3/2013	Julia Horwitz Description: Conference with G. McCall re: hearing	0.5	\$312.00	\$156.00
1/3/2013	Ginger McCall Description: Conference with J. Horwitz, M. Rotenberg present: M. Rotenberg)	1.0 g, G. McC	\$383.00 Call (Other EF	\$383.00 PIC staff
1/3/2013	Marc Rotenberg Description: Conference with J. Horwitz, M. Rotenberg present: G. McCall)	1.0 g, G. McC	\$753.00 Call (Other EF	\$753.00 PIC staff
1/3/2013	Ginger McCall Description: Conference with J. Horwitz	0.5	\$383.00	\$191.5
1/3/2013	Marc Rotenberg Description: Conference with J. Horwitz, G. McCall, ar (Other EPIC staff present: G. McCall)	1.0 nd M. Rot	\$753.00 enberg re: he	\$753.00 earing
1/3/2013	Ginger McCall Description: Conference with J. Horwitz, G. McCall, ar (Other EPIC staff present: M. Rotenberg)	1.0 nd M. Rot	\$383.00 enberg re: he	\$383.00 earing
1/3/2013	Ginger McCall Description: Preparation for hearing	3.2	\$383.00	\$1,225.60

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 34 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

ate Att	orney Name	Hours	Rate	Amoun
1/3/2013	Ginger McCall Description: Preparation for hearing (moot hearing)	1.0	\$383.00	\$383.0
1/3/2013	Marc Rotenberg Description: Preparation for hearing (moot hearing)	1.0	\$753.00	\$753.0
1/3/2013	David Jacobs Description: Preparation for motions hearing through	1.0 moot	\$312.00	\$312.0
1/3/2013	Julia Horwitz Description: Research case law, executive orders	2.0	\$312.00	\$624.0
1/3/2013	Julia Horwitz Description: Review motions, case history, judge's or	1.0 ders	\$312.00	\$312.0
1/4/2013	Ginger McCall Description: Preparation for hearing	2.5	\$383.00	\$957.5
1/4/2013	Ginger McCall Description: Preparation for hearing with moot hearing	1.0 g	\$383.00	\$383.0
1/4/2013	Ginger McCall Description: Preparation outline for hearing	1.5	\$383.00	\$574.5
1/4/2013	David Jacobs Description: Preparation for motions hearing through	1.0 moot	\$312.00	\$312.0
1/4/2013	Marc Rotenberg Description: Preparation for status hearing	0.3	\$753.00	\$225.9
1/6/2013	Ginger McCall Description: Review hearing argument outline	1.2	\$383.00	\$459.6

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 35 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

Date Att	torney Name	Hours	Rate	Amount
01/07/2013	Marc Rotenberg Description: Conference re: motions hearing before Juc	0.1 Ige Kes	\$753.00 sler	\$75.30
1/7/2013	Julia Horwitz Description: Preparation for court appearance	1.0	\$312.00	\$312.00
1/7/2013	Ginger McCall Description: Travel to court for appearance	0.5	\$383.00	\$191.50
01/08/2013	Marc Rotenberg Description: Review order re motion for reconsideration	0.2	\$753.00	\$150.60
02/01/2013	Marc Rotenberg Description: Review DHS status report	0.2	\$753.00	\$150.60
03/01/2013	Marc Rotenberg Description: Review DHS status report	0.2	\$753.00	\$150.60
04/15/2013	Marc Rotenberg Description: Review DHS status report	0.2	\$753.00	\$150.60
05/31/2013	Marc Rotenberg Description: Review DHS motion for extension	0.2	\$753.00	\$150.60
05/31/2013	Marc Rotenberg Description: Review minute order granting extension	0.1	\$753.00	\$75.30
06/14/2013	Marc Rotenberg Description: Review motion for extension	0.2	\$771.00	\$154.20
06/17/2013	Marc Rotenberg Description: Review minute order granting extension	0.1	\$771.00	\$77.10

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 36 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

ttorney Name	Hours	Rate	Amount
Marc Rotenberg	0.2	\$771.00	\$154.20
Description: Review DHS motion for extension			
Marc Rotenberg	0.1	\$771.00	\$77.10
Description: Review minute order granting extension			
Marc Rotenberg	0.1	\$771.00	\$77.10
Description: Review motion to modify scheduling orde	er as filed		
Marc Rotenberg	0.9	\$771.00	\$693.90
Description: Review and edit A. Stepanovich affidavit.			
5	0.2	\$771.00	\$154.20
Description: Review DHS opposition to motion to mot	lify sched	uling order	
Marc Rotenberg	0.1	\$771.00	\$77.10
Description: Review order re motion to modify schedu	lle		
Marc Rotenberg	0.1	\$771.00	\$77.10
Description: E-Mail regarding opposing counsel's requ	uest for ex	xtension.	
Amie Stepanovich	0.3	\$393.00	\$117.90
			totenberg
Marc Rotenberg	0.3	\$771.00	\$231.30
Description: Conference with J. Horwitz, A. Stepanov to discuss opposing counsel's motion. (Other I	ich, T. Mo EPIC staf	oore, and M. F f present: A.	lotenberg
Tom Moore	0.3	\$175.00	\$52.50
			Rotenberg
Amie Stepanovich	0.6	\$393.00	\$235.80
Description: Conference to discuss opposing counsel staff present: A. Stepanovich, M. Rotenberg, J			
	 Marc Rotenberg Description: Review DHS motion for extension Marc Rotenberg Description: Review minute order granting extension Marc Rotenberg Description: Review motion to modify scheduling orded Marc Rotenberg Description: Review and edit A. Stepanovich affidavit. Marc Rotenberg Description: Review DHS opposition to motion to modify scheduling Marc Rotenberg Description: Review DHS opposition to motion to modify scheduling Marc Rotenberg Description: Review OHS opposition to motion to modify scheduling Marc Rotenberg Description: Review order re motion to modify scheduling Marc Rotenberg Description: E-Mail regarding opposing counsel's required Amie Stepanovich Description: Conference with J. Horwitz, A. Stepanovich to discuss opposing counsel's motion. (Other International Conference with J. Horwitz, A. Stepanovich to discuss opposing counsel's motion. (Other International Conference with J. Horwitz, A. Stepanovich to discuss opposing counsel's motion. (Other International Conference with J. Horwitz, A. Stepanovich to discuss opposing counsel's motion. (Other International Conference with J. Horwitz, A. Stepanovich to discuss opposing counsel's motion. (Other International Conference with J. Horwitz, A. Stepanovich to discuss opposing counsel's motion. (Other International Conference with J. Horwitz, A. Stepanovich to discuss opposing counsel's motion. (Other International Conference with J. Horwitz, A. Stepanovich to discuss opposing counsel's motion. (Other International Conference with J. Horwitz, A. Stepanovich to discuss opposing counsel's motion. (Other International Conference with J. Horwitz, A. Stepanovich to discuss opposing counsel's motion. (Other International Conference with J. Horwitz, A. Stepanovich to discuss opposing counsel's motion. (Other International Conference with J. Horwitz, A. Stepanovich to discuss opposing counsel's motion. (Other International Conference with J. Horwitz, A	3 Marc Rotenberg 0.2 Description: Review DHS motion for extension 0.1 3 Marc Rotenberg 0.1 Description: Review minute order granting extension 0.1 3 Marc Rotenberg 0.1 Description: Review motion to modify scheduling order as filed 0.1 3 Marc Rotenberg 0.1 Description: Review and edit A. Stepanovich affidavit. 0.9 Description: Review and edit A. Stepanovich affidavit. 0.2 3 Marc Rotenberg 0.2 Description: Review DHS opposition to motion to modify schedule 0.1 3 Marc Rotenberg 0.1 Description: Review order re motion to modify schedule 0.1 Description: E-Mail regarding opposing counsel's request for extraposition: 0.1 Description: Conference with J. Horwitz, A. Stepanovich, T. Morto discuss opposing counsel's motion. (Other EPIC staf 0.3 Description: Conference with J. Horwitz, A. Stepanovich, T. Morto discuss opposing counsel's motion. (Other EPIC staf 3 3 Tom Moore 0.3 Description: Conference with J. Horwitz, A. Stepanovich, T. Morto discuss opposing counsel's motion. (Other EPIC staf 3 Tom Moore 0.	3 Marc Rotenberg 0.2 \$771.00 Description: Review DHS motion for extension 0.1 \$771.00 3 Marc Rotenberg 0.1 \$771.00 Description: Review minute order granting extension 0.1 \$771.00 Description: Review motion to modify scheduling order as filed 0.1 \$771.00 Description: Review motion to modify scheduling order as filed 0.9 \$771.00 Description: Review and edit A. Stepanovich affidavit. 0.9 \$771.00 Description: Review and edit A. Stepanovich affidavit. 0.2 \$771.00 Description: Review DHS opposition to motion to modify scheduling order 0.2 \$771.00 Description: Review order re motion to modify schedule \$771.00 \$771.00 Description: Review order re motion to modify schedule \$771.00 \$771.00 Description: Conference with J. Horwitz, A. Stepanovich, T. Moore, and M. F to discuss opposing counsel's motion. (Other EPIC staff present: M. \$771.00 Description: Conference with J. Horwitz, A. Stepanovich, T. Moore, and M. F to discuss opposing counsel's motion. (Other EPIC staff present: A. 3 Tom Moore 0.3 \$175.00 Description: Conference with J. Horwitz, A. Stepanovich, T. Moore, and M. F to discuss opposing counsel's moti

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 37 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

Date A	ttorney Name	Hours	Rate	Amount
8/15/2013	3 Marc Rotenberg	0.6	\$771.00	\$462.60
	Description: Conference to discuss opposing counsel's staff present: A. Stepanovich, M. Rotenberg, J.	motion Horwitz	for extension (Other EPIC	(EPIC staff
8/15/2013	3 Julia Horwitz	0.5	\$320.00	\$160.00
	Description: Review opposing counsel's motion for exte	ension a	nd court's orde	er
08/15/2013	3 Marc Rotenberg	0.2	\$771.00	\$154.20
	Description: Review DHS motion for extension			
8/15/2013	3 Julia Horwitz	0.5	\$320.00	\$160.00
	Description: Review opposing counsel's motion for exte	ension a	nd court's orde	ər
8/15/2013	3 Julia Horwitz	0.2	\$320.00	\$64.00
	Description: Review court's automatic grant of motion			
8/16/2013	3 Julia Horwitz	0.1	\$320.00	\$32.00
	Description: E-Mail regarding government's motion.			
8/16/2013	3 Julia Horwitz	0.2	\$320.00	\$64.00
	Description: Review court's automatic grant of motion			
08/16/2013	3 Marc Rotenberg	0.1	\$771.00	\$77.10
	Description: Review minute order granting extension			
08/16/2013	3 Marc Rotenberg	0.1	\$771.00	\$77.10
	Description: Review opposition to DHS extension motion	on as file	d	
08/19/2013	3 Marc Rotenberg	0.1	\$771.00	\$77.10
	Description: Review minute order granting extension			
08/30/2013	3 Marc Rotenberg	0.2	\$771.00	\$154.20
	Description: Review DHS motion for summary judgmer	nt		

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 38 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

Date	Attorney Name	Hours	Rate	Amount	
9/17/201	3 Julia Horwitz	0.5	\$320.00	\$160.00	
	Description: Conference to discuss exemption Stepanovich)	ons (other EPIC sta	aff present: A		
9/19/201	3 Marc Rotenberg	3.5	\$771.00	\$2,698.50	
	Description: Review and edit cross-motion for	or summary judgme	ent.		
9/20/201	3 Julia Horwitz	0.6	\$320.00	\$192.00	
	Description: Conference to discuss motion for present: A. Stepanovich)	or summary judgme	ent (Other EF	PIC staff	
9/20/201	3 Julia Horwitz	3.0	\$320.00	\$960.00	
	Description: Review responsive records, Vau Summary Judgment	ughn index, and De	efendant's Mo	otion for	
9/21/201	3 Julia Horwitz	6.0	\$320.00	\$1,920.00	
	Description: Research and draft Motion for Summary Judgment				
9/24/201	3 Jeramie Scott	1.0	\$320.00	\$320.00	
	Description: Research sufficiency of search s	section for MSJ			
9/25/201	3 Jeramie Scott	2.6	\$320.00	\$832.00	
	Description: Draft sufficiency of search section	on for MSJ			
9/25/201	3 Jeramie Scott	4.0	\$320.00	\$1,280.00	
	Description: Research sufficiency of search s	section for MSJ			
9/25/201	3 Julia Horwitz	5.0	\$320.00	\$1,600.00	
	Description: Research and draft Motion for S	ummary Judgmen	t		
9/25/201	3 Julia Horwitz	4.0	\$320.00	\$1,280.00	
	Description: Review b(5) claims made by age redactions in records	ency in Vaughn inc	lex, compare	ed with	
9/26/201	3 Julia Horwitz	3.0	\$320.00	\$960.00	
	Description: Draft Section on b(5)				

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 39 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

ate At	ttorney Name	Hours	Rate	Amoun		
9/26/2013	Amie Stepanovich	0.5	\$393.00	\$196.5		
	Description: E-Mail Status of Motion for Summand creation of exhibits (Other EPIC s	nary Judgment, di taff present: J. Sc	scuss final dra ott, J. Horwitz	afting)		
9/26/2013	Jeramie Scott	0.5	\$320.00	\$160.0		
	Description: E-Mail Status of Motion for Summ and creation of exhibits (Other EPIC s					
9/26/2013	Julia Horwitz	0.5	\$320.00	\$160.0		
	Description: E-Mail Status of Motion for Summand creation of exhibits (Other EPIC s	nary Judgment, di taff present: A. St	scuss final dra epanovich, J.	afting Scott)		
9/26/2013	Julia Horwitz	0.5	\$320.00	\$160.0		
	Description: Edit table of contents and formation	ing to accomodat	e section on b	o(5)		
9/26/2013	Jeramie Scott	1.1	\$320.00	\$352.0		
	Description: Edit sufficiency of search section	for MSJ				
9/26/2013	Amie Stepanovich	1.5	\$393.00	\$589.5		
	Description: Research Exemption b(1) and su	fficiency of agenc	y declarations	3		
9/26/2013	Julia Horwitz	1.0	\$320.00	\$320.0		
	Description: Review b(5) claims made in Vaughn index and in records					
9/26/2013	Jeramie Scott	2.0	\$320.00	\$640.0		
	Description: Review production of FOIA docur search issue for Exhibit 4	ments for example	es of sufficien	cy of		
9/26/2013	Amie Stepanovich	0.3	\$393.00	\$117.9		
	Description: Tele-Conference Scope of b(1) a Summary Judgment (Other EPIC staff	rgument and final present: M. Rote	drafting of Monderg)	otion for		
9/26/2013	Marc Rotenberg	0.3	\$771.00	\$231.3		
	Description : Tele-Conference Scope of b(1) a Summary Judgment (Other EPIC staff			otion for		
9/27/2013	Jeramie Scott	1.3	\$320.00	\$416.0		
	Description: Draft Exhibit 4					

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 40 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

ate At	torney Name	Hours	Rate	Amoun
9/27/2013	Amie Stepanovich	0.1	\$393.00	\$39.3
	Description: E-Mail Discussion of exhibits (C	other EPIC staff pre	sent: J. Horwi	tz)
9/27/2013	Julia Horwitz	0.1	\$320.00	\$32.0
	Description: E-Mail Discussion of exhibits (C	other EPIC staff pre	sent: A. Stepa	anovich)
9/27/2013	Amie Stepanovich	0.2	\$393.00	\$78.6
	Description: E-Mail Draft Motion for Summar discussions (Other EPIC staff presen			
9/27/2013	Jeramie Scott	0.2	\$320.00	\$64.0
	Description: E-Mail Draft Motion for Summar discussions (Other EPIC staff presen			
9/27/2013	Julia Horwitz	0.2	\$320.00	\$64.0
	Description: E-Mail Draft Motion for Summar discussions (Other EPIC staff presen			
9/27/2013	Marc Rotenberg	0.2	\$771.00	\$154.2
	Description: E-Mail Draft Motion for Summar discussions (Other EPIC staff presen			
9/27/2013	Amie Stepanovich	0.1	\$393.00	\$39.3
	Description: E-Mail Creation of Sufficiency o present: J. Scott)	f Search Exhibit (O	ther EPIC sta	ff
9/27/2013	Jeramie Scott	0.1	\$320.00	\$32.0
	Description: E-Mail Creation of Sufficiency o present: A. Stepanovich)	f Search Exhibit (O	ther EPIC sta	ff
9/27/2013	Jeramie Scott	0.2	\$320.00	\$64.0
	Description: Edit sufficiency of search sectio	n for MSJ		
9/27/2013	Amie Stepanovich	1.2	\$393.00	\$471.6
	Description: File Motion for Summary Judgm	nent and Oppositior	1	
9/27/2013	Amie Stepanovich	0.3	\$393.00	\$117.9
	Description: Preparation Motion for Summar	y Judgment for Filir	ng	

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 41 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

Date A	ttorney Name	Hours	Rate	Amoun
9/27/2013	Amie Stepanovich Description: Preparation Motion for Summary Judgm	0.4 nent for Fili	\$393.00 ng	\$157.2
9/27/2013	Amie Stepanovich Description: Preparation Motion for Summary Judgm compilation	0.8 nent for Fili	\$393.00 ng - Final edit	\$314.4 ing,
9/27/2013	Jeramie Scott Description: Review production of FOIA documents f search issue for Exhibit 4	1.1 for example	\$320.00 es of sufficien	\$352.0 cy of
9/27/2013	Amie Stepanovich Description: Review Filing for Confirmation	0.1	\$393.00	\$39.3
9/27/2013	Marc Rotenberg Description: Review cross motion for summary judgr	0.1 nent as file	\$771.00 d	\$77.1
9/30/2013	Amie Stepanovich Description: E-Mail exchange of emails discussing o proposed stay (Other EPIC staff present: M. I			\$314.4 nment's
9/30/2013	Marc Rotenberg Description: E-Mail exchange of emails discussing o proposed stay (Other EPIC staff present: A. S			\$616.8 nment's
9/30/2013	Julia Horwitz Description: E-Mail exchange of emails discussing o proposed stay (Other EPIC staff present: A. S			
0/01/2013	Marc Rotenberg Description: Review DHS motion for stay	0.2	\$771.00	\$154.2
10/2/2013	Amie Stepanovich Description: Review Court Order to Stay Proceeding	0.1 s for Shutc	\$393.00 Iown	\$39.3
10/2/2013	Amie Stepanovich Description: Review Voicemail from L. Marcus about shutdown	0.1 stay need	\$393.00 ed for govern	\$39.3 ment

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 42 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

Date A	ttorney Name	Hours	Rate	Amount
10/02/2013	Marc Rotenberg Description: Review minute order granting motion for s	0.1 stay	\$771.00	\$77.1
10/21/2013	Marc Rotenberg Description: Review DHS notice	0.1	\$771.00	\$77.10
10/22/2013	Marc Rotenberg Description: Review minute order re scheduling	0.1	\$771.00	\$77.10
10/27/2013	Marc Rotenberg Description: E-Mail regarding motion for reconsideration	0.1 on.	\$771.00	\$77.10
11/1/2013	Amie Stepanovich Description: Tele-Conference with Lisa Marcus re: gov for stay (check voicemail message)	0.1 /ernment	\$393.00 shutdown ar	\$39.30 nd need
1/04/2013	Marc Rotenberg Description: Review DHS reply	0.2	\$771.00	\$154.20
1/20/2013	Julia Horwitz Description: Draft section of the reply discussing b(5)	1.0	\$320.00	\$320.00
1/20/2013	Julia Horwitz Description: Draft section of the reply discussing b(7)	1.0	\$320.00	\$320.00
1/20/2013	Julia Horwitz Description: Review opposition and reply	0.5	\$320.00	\$160.00
11/25/2013	Marc Rotenberg Description: Review reply in support of cross motion fo	0.1 or summa	\$771.00 ary judgment	\$77.10 as filed
11/25/2013	Marc Rotenberg Description: Review and edit reply motion	2.8	\$771.00	\$2,158.80

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 43 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

Date A	ttorney Name	Hours	Rate	Amoun
8/4/2015	Alan Butler Description: E-Mail with M. Rotenberg re: opinior	0.1 and order	\$406.00	\$40.6
8/4/2015	Alan Butler Description: Review court order and opinion	0.5	\$406.00	\$203.0
08/04/2015	Marc Rotenberg Description: Review opinion and order	0.2	\$796.00	\$159.2
8/5/2015	Alan Butler Description: Edit e-mail to opposing counsel and	0.2 Joint Status R	\$406.00 eport	\$81.2
8/5/2015	Alan Butler Description: Review edits to Joint Status Report	0.1	\$406.00	\$40.6
9/14/2015	Marc Rotenberg Description: Review DHS motion to modify the so	0.2 cheduling orde	\$796.00 r	\$159.2
9/18/2015	Alan Butler Description: E-Mail re: opposing counsel request present: J. Scott, M. Rotenberg)	0.5 for extension	\$406.00 (Other EPIC s	\$203.0 staff
9/18/2015	Jeramie Scott Description: E-Mail re: opposing counsel request present: A. Butler, M. Rotenberg)	0.5 for extension	\$406.00 (Other EPIC s	\$203.0 staff
9/18/2015	Marc Rotenberg Description: E-Mail re: opposing counsel request present: A. Butler, J. Scott)	0.5 for extension	\$796.00 (Other EPIC s	\$398.0 staff
9/18/2015	Marc Rotenberg Description: Review DHS motion for extension	0.2	\$796.00	\$159.2
9/21/2015	Alan Butler Description: Conference re: motion for extension M. Rotenberg)	0.2 (Other EPIC s	\$406.00 taff present: .	\$81.2 J. Scott,

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 44 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

Date	Attorney Name	Hours	Rate	Amount
9/21/201	5 Jeramie Scott	0.2	\$406.00	\$81.2
	Description: Conference re: motion for extension (C M. Rotenberg)	Other EPIC s	taff present: A	A. Butler,
9/21/201	5 Marc Rotenberg	0.2	\$796.00	\$159.20
	Description: Conference re: motion for extension (C J. Scott)	Other EPIC s	taff present: A	A. Butler,
9/21/201	5 Alan Butler	0.2	\$406.00	\$81.20
	Description: Edit and update case records			
9/21/201	5 Alan Butler	0.1	\$406.00	\$40.60
	Description: File notice of appearance			
9/21/201	5 Alan Butler	0.2	\$406.00	\$81.20
	Description: File notice of appearance			
9/21/201	5 Marc Rotenberg	0.1	\$796.00	\$79.60
	Description: Review order granting motion for exter	nsion		
)9/30/201	5 Marc Rotenberg	0.2	\$796.00	\$159.20
	Description: Review DHS notice and vaughn			
10/2/201	5 Alan Butler	0.3	\$406.00	\$121.80
	Description: Conference to discuss case status and EPIC staff present: J. Scott, M. Rotenberg)	d supplemen	tal vaughn (O	ther
10/2/201	5 Jeramie Scott	0.3	\$406.00	\$121.80
	Description: Conference to discuss case status and EPIC staff present: A. Butler, M. Rotenberg		tal vaughn (O	ther
10/2/201	5 Marc Rotenberg	0.3	\$796.00	\$238.80
	Description: Conference to discuss case status and EPIC staff present: A. Butler, J. Scott)	d supplemen	tal vaughn (O	ther
10/2/201	5 Jeramie Scott	0.5	\$406.00	\$203.00
	Description: Review DHS supplemental Vaughn an	d Declaratio	n	

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 45 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

Date A	Attorney Name	Hours	Rate	Amount
10/5/201	5 Jeramie Scott	1.0	\$406.00	\$406.00
	Description: Draft Memo re: adequacy of DHS's Exem supplemental Vaughn and Declaration	ption 7(D) claims in	
10/26/201	5 Jeramie Scott	0.5	\$406.00	\$203.00
	Description: Edit Memo re: adequacy of DHS's Exemp supplemental Vaughn and Declaration	otion 7(D)	claims in	
10/26/201	5 Alan Butler	0.1	\$406.00	\$40.60
	Description: Review court order			
10/26/201	5 Marc Rotenberg	0.1	\$796.00	\$79.60
	Description: Review order re status report			
10/26/2015	5 Jeramie Scott	0.1	\$406.00	\$40.60
	Description: Review Court order			
10/27/201	5 Alan Butler	0.3	\$406.00	\$121.80
	Description: Edit e-mail to opposing counsel re: court of	order		
10/28/201	5 Alan Butler	0.3	\$406.00	\$121.80
	Description: Conference re: next steps (Other EPIC st Rotenberg)	aff prese	nt: J. Scott, M	
10/28/201	5 Jeramie Scott	0.3	\$406.00	\$121.80
	Description: Conference re: next steps (Other EPIC st Rotenberg)	aff prese	nt: A. Butler, N	Л.
10/28/201	5 Marc Rotenberg	0.3	\$796.00	\$238.80
	Description: Conference re: next steps (Other EPIC st	aff prese	nt: A. Butler, J	. Scott)
10/28/201	5 Alan Butler	0.5	\$406.00	\$203.00
	Description: Review billing records			
11/2/201	5 Marc Rotenberg	0.2	\$796.00	\$159.20
	Description: Review draft motion for reconsideration.			

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 46 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

Date	Atto	orney Name	Hours	Rate	Amount
11/3/20)15	Alan Butler	1.0	\$406.00	\$406.00
		Description: Review billing records			
11/4/20)15	Alan Butler	1.0	\$406.00	\$406.00
		Description: Review billing records			
11/5/20	015	Alan Butler	1.0	\$406.00	\$406.00
		Description: Review billing records			
11/9/20	015	Alan Butler	1.0	\$406.00	\$406.00
		Description: Draft memo re: settlement proposal			
11/11/2015)15	Marc Rotenberg	1.1	\$796.00	\$875.60
		Description: Review billing records			
11/12/20)15	Marc Rotenberg	0.3	\$796.00	\$238.80
		Description: Conference to discuss agency request Stepanovich, G. McCall).	(Other EPI	C staff presen	t: A.
11/12/20	015	Marc Rotenberg	0.1	\$796.00	\$79.60
		Description: E-Mail to opposing counsel regarding n	notion for re	consideration).
11/12/20)15	Alan Butler	0.5	\$406.00	\$203.00
		Description: E-Mail regarding billing record. (Other Rotenberg, J. Scott)	EPIC staff p	present: J. Tra	an, M.
11/12/20	015	John Tran	0.5	\$331.00	\$165.50
		Description: E-Mail regarding billing record. (Other Rotenberg, J. Scott)	EPIC staff p	present: A. Bu	itler, M.
11/12/20)15	Marc Rotenberg	0.5	\$796.00	\$398.00
		Description: E-Mail regarding billing record. (Other Tran, J. Scott)	EPIC staff p	present: A. Bu	itler, J.
11/12/20	015	Jeramie Scott	0.5	\$406.00	\$203.00
		Description: E-Mail regarding billing record. (Other Tran, M. Rotenberg)	EPIC staff p	present: A. Bu	ıtler, J.

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 47 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

Date Att	orney Name	Hours	Rate	Amount
11/12/2015	Marc Rotenberg	0.3	\$796.00	\$238.8
	Description: E-Mail regarding billing record.			
11/12/2015	John Tran	2.7	\$331.00	\$893.70
	Description: Review billing records			
11/12/2015	Marc Rotenberg	0.4	\$796.00	\$318.40
	Description: Review billing records.			
11/24/2015	Alan Butler	0.2	\$406.00	\$81.20
	Description: Conference re: case status (Other EPIC Rotenberg)	staff prese	ent: J. Scott, N	Л.
11/24/2015	Jeramie Scott	0.2	\$406.00	\$81.20
	Description: Conference re: case status (Other EPIC Rotenberg)	staff prese	ent: A. Butler,	M.
11/24/2015	Marc Rotenberg	0.2	\$796.00	\$159.20
	Description: Conference re: case status (Other EPIC	staff prese	ent: A. Butler,	J. Scott)
11/30/2015	Jeramie Scott	0.3	\$406.00	\$121.80
	Description: Draft e-mail re: proposed settlement offe	r		
11/30/2015	Jeramie Scott	0.5	\$406.00	\$203.00
	Description: E-Mail re: proposed settlement offer (Oth Rotenberg)	ner EPIC s	staff present: N	Л.
11/30/2015	Marc Rotenberg	0.5	\$796.00	\$398.00
	Description: E-Mail re: proposed settlement offer (Oth	ner EPIC s	staff present: J	I. Scott)
11/30/2015	John Tran	1.0	\$331.00	\$331.00
	Description: Review billing records (Other EPIC staff	present: A	. Butler)	
11/30/2015	Alan Butler	1.0	\$406.00	\$406.00
	Description: Review billing records (Other EPIC staff	present: J	. Tran)	

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 48 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

Date /	Attorney Name	Hours	Rate	Amount
12/1/201	5 Jeramie Scott	0.2	\$406.00	\$81.20
	Description: Edit e-mail re: proposed settlement offer			
12/1/201	5 Alan Butler	0.2	\$406.00	\$81.20
	Description: Review e-mail re: proposed settlement o	ffer		
12/1/201	5 Marc Rotenberg	0.1	\$796.00	\$79.60
	Description: Review e-mail to opposing counsel re: pr	oposed s	ettlement offer	
12/7/201	5 Alan Butler	0.1	\$406.00	\$40.60
	Description: Conference re: case status (Other EPIC Rotenberg)	staff pres	ent: J. Scott, M.	
12/7/201	5 Jeramie Scott	0.1	\$406.00	\$40.60
	Description: Conference re: case status (Other EPIC Rotenberg)	staff pres	ent: A. Butler, M	
12/7/201	5 Marc Rotenberg	0.1	\$796.00	\$79.60
	Description: Conference re: case status (Other EPIC	staff pres	ent: A. Butler, J.	Scott)
12/11/201	5 Jeramie Scott	0.3	\$406.00	\$121.80
	Description: Draft joint status report			
2/11/201	5 Jeramie Scott	0.3	\$406.00	\$121.80
	Description: E-Mail opposing counsel re: joint status r	eport		
12/11/201	5 Jeramie Scott	0.1	\$406.00	\$40.60
	Description: E-Mail opposing counsel re: joint status r	eport		
12/11/201	5 Jeramie Scott	0.1	\$406.00	\$40.60
	Description: Edit joint status report			
12/11/201	5 Alan Butler	0.2	\$406.00	\$81.20
	Description: Edit joint status report			

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 49 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

Date At	torney Name	Hours	Rate	Amoun
12/15/2015	Alan Butler	1.0	\$406.00	\$406.0
	Description: Conference re: opposing counsel co (Other EPIC staff present: J. Scott, M. Ro		and edits to J	SR
12/15/2015	Jeramie Scott	1.0	\$406.00	\$406.0
	Description: Conference re: opposing counsel co (Other EPIC staff present: A. Butler, M. R		and edits to J	SR
12/15/2015	Marc Rotenberg	1.0	\$796.00	\$796.0
	Description: Conference re: opposing counsel co (Other EPIC staff present: A. Butler, J. So		and edits to J	SR
12/15/2015	Alan Butler	0.5	\$406.00	\$203.0
	Description: Conference re: revised status report (Other EPIC staff present: J. Scott)	t and opposing	counsel e-ma	ails
12/15/2015	Jeramie Scott	0.5	\$406.00	\$203.0
	Description: Conference re: revised status report (Other EPIC staff present: A. Butler)	t and opposing	counsel e-ma	ails
12/15/2015	Alan Butler	0.5	\$406.00	\$203.0
	Description: E-Mail re: opposing counsel commu EPIC staff present: J. Scott, M. Rotenber		edits to JSR (0	Other
12/15/2015	Jeramie Scott	0.5	\$406.00	\$203.0
	Description: E-Mail re: opposing counsel commu EPIC staff present: A. Butler, M. Rotenbe		edits to JSR (0	Other
12/15/2015	Marc Rotenberg	0.5	\$796.00	\$398.0
	Description: E-Mail re: opposing counsel commu EPIC staff present: A. Butler, J. Scott)	inications and o	edits to JSR (0	Other
12/15/2015	Jeramie Scott	0.2	\$406.00	\$81.2
	Description: E-Mail opposing counsel re: joint sta	atus report		
12/15/2015	Marc Rotenberg	0.2	\$796.00	\$159.20
	Description: Edit joint status report and e-mail to	opposing cour	nsel	
1/5/2016	Alan Butler	0.1	\$406.00	\$40.6
	Description: Conference re: case status (Other E Rotenberg)	EPIC staff prese	ent: J. Scott, N	Л.

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 50 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

ate A	Attorney Name	Hours	Rate	Amoun
1/5/2016	5 Jeramie Scott	0.1	\$406.00	\$40.6
	Description: Conference re: case status (Oth Rotenberg)	er EPIC staff prese	ent: A. Butler,	М.
1/5/2016	6 Marc Rotenberg	0.1	\$796.00	\$79.6
	Description: Conference re: case status (Oth	er EPIC staff prese	ent: A. Butler,	J. Scott)
1/11/2016	S John Tran	0.3	\$331.00	\$99.3
	Description: Review billing records (Other EF	PIC staff present: A	. Butler)	
1/11/2016	6 Alan Butler	0.3	\$406.00	\$121.8
	Description: Review billing records (Other EF	PIC staff present: J	. Tran)	
1/19/2016	S Alan Butler	0.1	\$406.00	\$40.6
	Description: Conference re: case status (Oth Tran, J. Scott)	er EPIC staff prese	ent: M. Rotenl	berg, J.
1/19/2016	6 Marc Rotenberg	0.1	\$796.00	\$79.6
	Description: Conference re: case status (Oth J. Scott)	er EPIC staff prese	ent: A. Butler,	J. Tran,
/19/2016	S John Tran	0.1	\$331.00	\$33.1
	Description: Conference re: case status (Oth Rotenberg, J. Scott)	er EPIC staff prese	ent: A. Butler,	M.
1/19/2016	6 Jeramie Scott	0.1	\$406.00	\$40.6
	Description: Conference re: case status (Oth Rotenberg, J. Tran)	er EPIC staff prese	ent: A. Butler,	M.
2/1/2016	6 Alan Butler	0.5	\$406.00	\$203.0
	Description: Conference re: DC Cir decision Tran, M. Rotenberg)	in Salazar (Other E	EPIC staff pre	sent: J.
2/1/2016	6 John Tran	0.5	\$331.00	\$165.5
	Description: Conference re: DC Cir decision Butler, M. Rotenberg)	in Salazar (Other E	EPIC staff pre	sent: A.
2/1/2016	6 Marc Rotenberg	0.5	\$796.00	\$398.0
	Description: Conference re: DC Cir decision Butler, J. Tran)	in Salazar (Other E	EPIC staff pre	sent: A.

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 51 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

ite At	torney Name	Hours	Rate	Amoun
2/3/2016	Alan Butler	1.0	\$406.00	\$406.0
	Description: Review and enter billing records			
2/4/2016	John Tran	3.5	\$331.00	\$1,158.5
	Description: Draft fee motion			
2/4/2016	Alan Butler	2.1	\$406.00	\$852.6
	Description: Edit fee motion			
2/4/2016	Jeramie Scott	1.1	\$406.00	\$446.6
	Description: Edit fee motion			
2/4/2016	Alan Butler	2.0	\$406.00	\$812.0
	Description: Review and enter billing records			
2/5/2016	Alan Butler	2.5	\$406.00	\$1,015.0
	Description: Edit fee motion			
2/5/2016	Marc Rotenberg	1.0	\$796.00	\$796.0
	Description: Edit fee motion			
2/5/2016	John Tran	5.5	\$331.00	\$1,820.5
	Description: Edit fee motion			
2/5/2016	Jeramie Scott	4.0	\$406.00	\$1,624.0
	Description: Edit fee motion			
2/5/2016	John Tran	0.3	\$331.00	\$99.3
	Description: File fee motion			
2/5/2016	John Tran	1.0	\$331.00	\$331.0
	Description: Preparation exhibits to fee motion			

Case 1:12-cv-00333-GK Document 81-9 Filed 02/05/16 Page 52 of 52 Case Billing Record

Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC)

1718 Connecticut Ave., NW • Suite 200 • Washington, DC 20009

Date	Attorney Name	Hours	Rate	Amount
Tota	al Hours: 360.1	Total Am	ount:	\$144,806.50